

**THE**



# PHOENIX LIBERATOR

*"THE TRUTH WILL SET YOU FREE"*

**FEBRUARY 18, 1992 Volume XVIII #5**

## MENU

MENU.....	1
FDA Sounds Deathnell to Wellness Industry.....	4
2/7/92 #1 HATONN.....	4
H.R. 3642.....	4
H.R. 1662.....	4
N L E.A.....	4
TEXAS ALREADY IN CONFISCATION.....	5
FDA CLASSIFICATION OF DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AS UNSAFE FOOD ADDITIVES.....	5
FDA RECALL OF L-TRYPTOPHAN.....	5
FDA TASK FORCE.....	6
Introduction.....	7
to Hatonn.....	7
1/13/92 #1 HATONN.....	7
The Real Cause Of.....	7
Hostilities With Japan.....	7
2/7/92 #1 HATONN.....	7
Strange Sources of Confirmation.....	8
2/7/92 #1 HATONN.....	8
Slave Labor Products: Made.....	8
In China.....	8
2/7/92 #1 HATONN.....	8
CIA: The Clandestine Theory. Part II.....	9
2/792 #2 HATONN.....	9
INTEGRATES CIA WITH KGB AND MOSSAD.....	9
SUPPRESSED FOR EIGHTEEN YEARS.....	9
COVERT-ACTION THEORY.....	10
CLANDESTINE RICHARD BISSELL.....	10
RICHARD HELMS.....	11
BISSELL STAYS ON.....	11
SECRET MEETING JAN. 1968 AT CFR HDQTRS.....	12
EMBASSY COVER.....	12
BLACKMAIL AND COERCION.....	13

USED .....	13
LONG TERM COVERT INFLUENCE .....	14
ONLY THE CIA KNOWS .....	14
UPDATE ON BO GRITZ .....	14
A Must See:.....	15
Unauthorized .....	15
Biography of George Bush.....	15
2/7/92 #1 HATONN.....	15
Dared To Print the Next Letter .....	15
2/7/92 #1 HATONN.....	15
2/8/92 #1 HATONN.....	16
INDIANA PLANE CRASH - ISLAMIC WAR.....	17
BACK TO THE CIA: COVERT ACTION .....	17
CLANDESTINE OVERRIDES ANALYSIS.....	17
EIGHT TACTICS CIA USES.....	19
CIA "DEALS AROUND" U.S. AMBASSADORS .....	20
CIA MONEY CORRUPTS .....	20
STUDENT RECRUITS TRAPPED .....	21
PRESIDENT DIDN'T WANT IT CHANGED.....	22
\$50,000 IN OLD BILLS .....	23
EXCHANGE OF PERSONS .....	23
ECONOMIC PROGRAMS SELDOM WORK .....	24
CUBAN SUGAR: BUNGLED. IMBITTERED .....	24
PRESIDENT CAN DODGE CONSEQUENCES.....	24
PRESIDENTIAL "BLAME" ONLY PART OF COVER.....	25
New Plans For the East Coast.....	26
2/9/92 #1 HATONN.....	26
PLANS FOR NEW YORK .....	26
CITY .....	26
MAJOR PLANTED BOMB LOCATIONS .....	27
INCREDIBLE ACTIONS NEAR .....	27
The CIA and .....	28
the Intelligence .....	28
Community .....	28
2/9/92 #2 HATONN.....	28
THE CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY .....	28
WASTEFUL PAY POLICIES .....	29
COMPUTERIZED RECORDS VIEWED AS THREAT .....	29
UNCOUNTABLE: PLANES and PEOPLE .....	30
PROPRIETARY COMPANIES OUTGROW CONTROL .....	30
A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR CONGLOMERATE WITH BUDGETARY BLACK HOLES .....	31
HIDDEN SURPLUSES .....	31
"WITHOUT REGARD TO PROVISIONS OF LAW".....	32
CIA DID WHAT DEFENSE SECRETARY COULDN'T DO .....	32

PICKING UP LBJ'S TAB ON THE SLY .....	32
2/9/92 #3 HATONN.....	33
CONT'D: CIA AND INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY .....	34
INVESTIGATION OF CIA FINANCES (ALMOST) .....	34
THE FOUR FINANCIAL DIRECTORATES OF CIA .....	35
INTERNAL SECRECY.....	36
"SUBTLE" CENSORSHIP OF RETIREES .....	36
THE CLANDESTINE SERVICES .....	36
DOMESTIC U.S. OPERATIONS "MYSTERIOUS" .....	37
TECH SERVICES: JUST LIKE 007 .....	38
RENAMING BRANCHES--SOUND FAMILIAR? .....	38
BLACK MARKET MONEY DEALS .....	39
SHADES OF KOL NIDRE.....	39
KEY PERSONNEL NOT AS PRESENTED PUBLICLY .....	40
The Russian Report .....	41
1/31/92 DR. JOHN COLEMAN .....	41
The Dangers of Globalism .....	52
2/10/92 #1 HATONN.....	52
GLOBALISM .....	53
WHY PUSH ON? .....	53
THE GOAL: GLOBAL CONTROL.....	54
The Directorate of Intelligence .....	54
2/10/92 #1 HATONN.....	54
THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE .....	55
TOO MANY PEOPLE .....	56
IMPORTANCE OF KNOWLEDGE RE: CIA .....	57
THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY .....	57
TEN SEPARATE COMPONENTS.....	57
CIA HEAD "BIG CHIEF" OF ALL U.S. INTELLIGENCE.....	58
BRITISH INTELLIGENCE REAL HEAD OF U.S. INTELLIGENCE .....	58
INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS SECRET FROM .....	59
CONGRESS .....	59
INTERACTIONS REVEALING .....	60
Concentration Camp Earth.....	61
YOUR WAY: GOD'S WAY .....	62
Oklahoma Incident .....	62
OKLAHOMA INCIDENT .....	62
ALWAYS STRICTLY PROPER ACTIONS .....	62
2/10/92 #2 HATONN.....	63
THE OKLAHOMA INCIDENT UPDATE.....	63
Copyright.....	65
Statement.....	65

# **FDA Sounds Deathnell to Wellness Industry**

**2/7/92 #1 HATONN**

Hatonn present in God's Holy Light--Host, come in service unto God and you of God.

I will first write of an alert which needs attending for you ones who choose to use natural supplementation and herbs in your health.

I told you a couple of years ago that you must attend obtaining that which you will need over the next years and store carefully--for the government would be making items unattainable. It is here--NOW. I spoke of it about a week ago and today I tell you that the intent to confiscate certain items is current and under way. All items and herbs which are detoxificants and liver/kidney boosters are being removed permanently through FDA confiscation. It is happening as we speak. If you can obtain items such as, say, Q-10--I suggest you do so immediately. All radiation blockers are being removed from shelves by force.

I will give you a brief listing of H.R.'s (House Resolutions) but for information, contact America West and they can give you information where you can get follow-up. They are not handling the information source but can give assistance if you ask.

The following proposals by the FDA and Congress will sound the deathnell to the wellness industry and the public's right to choose safe and beneficial dietary supplements in 1992, unless the public takes immediate action. It is too late with some of the most important substances.

## **H.R. 3642**

Food, Drug, Cosmetic and Device Enforcement Amendment of 1991 cosponsored by Rep. Henry A. Waxman (D. CA) and Rep. John D. Dingell (D. MI) will increase FDA's enforcement powers to Police State levels. It will enable FDA agents to embargo and recall products without due process and to destroy small companies by levying fines of up to one million dollars.

## **H.R. 1662**

H. R. 1662, The Nutrition Advertising Coordination Act of 1991, was introduced by Rep. Joe Moakley, (D. MA). The new labeling rules are likely to ban virtually all health claims for supplements and foods and would severely restrict the free flow of nutritional and educational information on dietary supplements to the public.

## **N L E.A.**

(Nutrition Labeling And Education Act of 1990)

FDA's N. L. E. A. proposals would restrict all new health claims. It flies in the face of recommendations of most private medical groups throughout the world and clearly ignores legislative intent to treat health claims for dietary supplements differently from claims for regular foods. It will give FDA additional powers that would limit the introduction of new safe and beneficial dietary supplements.

## **TEXAS ALREADY IN CONFISCATION**

The following items are already in confiscation in Texas:

1. Guar Gum 2. Intesti-cleanse 3. Aussie Gold Tea Tree Oil 4. Thursday Plantation Tea Tree Oil. [nos. 3 and 4 are anti-viral-like antibiotic w/no side effects] 5. Alacer Super Gramm III (vit C) 6. Alacer Emergen C. 7. Twin Labs gabba plus 8. Twin Labs mega C-Q 10 9. MaxiLife C-Q 10 formula (Twin Lab--this is offered, suggested, for Aids patients) 10. C-Q 10 11. Twin Lab Detox 12. Dal soft C-Q 10 soft gels 13. Kal Diet Max. There were some other items but, because they are truly quite toxic or worthless, I won't list them. I gave you a few others a couple of days ago so won't repeat.

## **FDA CLASSIFICATION OF DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS AS UNSAFE FOOD ADDITIVES**

The FDA has moved against orotates, primrose oil, black currant oil, CoQ10 and germanium unjustly claiming they are unsafe food additives. This tactic will undoubtedly be used to eliminate many other safe and beneficial food supplements as well.

## **FDA RECALL OF L-TRYPTOPHAN**

In October 1989, the FDA recalled all products containing the essential amino acid L-Tryptophan. Problems with L-Tryptophan were traced and proven to be from a contaminate introduced into L-Tryptophan by a Japanese manufacturer. L-Tryptophan has not been reintroduced to the market, even though it is proven that L-Tryptophan as a substance is totally safe. Goodness me, it is the nice substance in milk which is calming and relaxing. It is not only beneficial--it is essential and necessary for life. In fact, the FDA intends to use this situation as an excuse to limit or ban all other essential amino acids-AND DID, IN FACT, SET UP THE CONTAMINATION INTENTIONALLY.

Why? Because with all the additives to cow's feed causing reaction and simple inability for many ones to digest milk easily--this will deprive the citizen of the product and substance. This is one of the major products which regains calmness and aids in relief of depression. The point is: THEY INTEND TO HAVE YOU IN TOTAL CHAOS AND MASSIVE STATES OF DEPRESSION SO THAT YOU CAN BE HERDED LIKE THE COWS THEMSELVES.

## **FDA TASK FORCE**

In its continuing effort to prevent the public's right to choose safe and effective dietary supplements, the FDA, on August 29, 1991, held a public meeting. Their apparent intention is to divide the dietary supplement industry into 3 categories.

- 1. Amino acids:** Their intention appears to be the elimination of or prescription status of all amino acids.
- 2. Herbs:** Their intention is to eliminate or cause and enforce prescription status of all herb products.
- 3. Vitamins and minerals:** Their intention is to eliminate or cause and enforce prescription status for ALL dietary supplements other than the typical vitamin s- A,B,C,D , etc., and if the FDA has its way, these vitamins will only be able to be sold in potencies similar to the RDA set by the government--exactly as they have done with Folic Acid so that what you are able to obtain is valueless.

I warn you this day--it is coming! I suggest you act accordingly. David Kessler, FDA Commissioner, has been given the task and accepted it, to totally regulate the dietary supplement industry. The laws will be written in such manner that the bad must be approved with the good and thereby ALL will automatically come into total control. By the direction going, chelas, your very food intake will be next and it will be enforced by ration coupons due to "shortages". The point is to kill you off and you had best get with the program and face it. It is going to require massive action if you deter this monster wave already in motion.

I do request, since America West is already totally overloaded, that you please send at least a self-addressed envelope for return of information and perhaps you might enclose a couple of extra stamps for the burden of mailing is tremendous.

All of this moves right along with the Protocols--even the cost of mailing so that you ones will become isolated and have inability to even obtain stamps for the making or retaining of contacts--along with a total shutdown of ability to receive or pass on information of this type.

PLEASE: THIS IS A SERIOUS WARNING AND TIME IS OUT FOR THESE ITEMS IN POINT. I FURTHER URGE YOU ONES TO **GET THE CHLORELLA** PRODUCTION UNDER WAY FOR THE FDA REFUSED TO APPROVE IT FOR PUBLIC USE (KEPT IT FOR SPACE PROGRAM) UNTIL SPIRULINA WAS ALLOWED AS A "DIET" ADDITIVE FOR WEIGHT REDUCTION. AT THAT TIME THE FDA WAS FORCED TO ALSO APPROVE CHLORELLA. THIS IS FULL-FOOD FOR SUSTAINING LIFE AND IT WILL BE REMOVED FROM ABILITY TO OBTAIN AS QUICKLY AS IS FEASIBLE TO PULL IT.

**You who continue to wish to bury your heads and deny, rant and rave at our insanity--had best awaken for these things are on your doorsteps NOW.**

# Introduction to Hatonn

**1/13/92 #1 HATONN**

I am Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn, *Commander in Chief, Earth Project Transition, Pleiades Sector Flight Command, Intergalactic Federation Fleet*. You may call me Hatonn. **I am come in service unto the ONE GOD of Lighted Creation, and as "Host" unto His people who will be brought into His places long prepared for you. My own "Captain" is Sananda, the Christed Being you have called by many names—now one totally *with* Creator/Creation. [Sananda: simply meaning of/ with God]. We are not "mystical" nor even "mysterious" aliens. We are the "Hosts" sent in preparation for His return, bringers of Truth according to His promise and to reveal the lies foisted upon you to claim your souls for the physical evil Elite controllers. We come in total love and without intent of force, coercion or judging. "Judging" I is a state of evaluation of self in the presence of Creator in which actions in the physical experience I are evaluated.**

The information within the documents revealing Truth, THE PHOENIX JOURNALS and *LIBERATOR*, are the outlays of lies and hidden facts for which you can find confirmation. If you don't know the problems and the source of the problems, you can have no solutions.

I do not "channel"; I transmit on a frequency attuned to my "receiver" who is simply a translator of the purses into English. This is true of all receivers who receive from any of this Command come forth with God for this transition of species and planet.

The receivers mostly have no reference for material given until after the giving and then, only for their own confirmation and yours.

## The Real Cause Of Hostilities With Japan

**2/7/92 #1 HATONN**

Let us speak of Japan a moment and look into the current problems between your nation and them. Do you actually think the animosity and hostility is only over "cars"? It is all over refusal for buying debt and other political intrigue--but I promise you it is even bigger than those things.

You will be able to confirm this notation for a report has already been issued in Japan as to the following: The U.S. government is going to retarget long-range nuclear weapons **AGAINST JAPAN, GERMANY, INDIA, CHINA, NORTH KOREA, IRAQ, IRAN, LIBYA, ALGERIA, AND SOUTH AFRICA. I tell you now--this is one of the facts Mr. Gates of the CIA is prattling all along this "unity" trip to Europe, Russia and the Middle East. His trip is the worst news any of you could get because he is structuring final integration into and within the Global police force, i.e., the KGB and Mossad. This will finalize the agreements and "pecking order".**

The White House has given approval to a Pentagon plan to retarget your American strategic long-range nuclear weapons and the 10 countries were named by the Joint Strategic Target Planning Staff, a 21-member Pentagon advisory group, in a report drawn up for Gen. Lee Butler, the Air Force officer commanding U . S . Strategic Forces, after a secret five-month study. The report calls for "targeting every reasonable adversary". This means "any country that could reasonably be seen as a potential adversary." The U.S. will supposedly no longer target the Soviets. By the way: I would like you to take note of the picture of the flags copied herein. Interesting? A Soviet flag in the number one placement--IN JUNEAU, ALASKA!!

## **Strange Sources of Confirmation**

### **2/7/92 #1 HATONN**

You who just can't come into belief of doom and gloom Hatonn--how about trying "Doonesbury" cartoon of a couple of Sunday's past. I'm sure Trudeau will get his next dose of "discipline" for his daring--but you ones had better pay attention!

Next, and please see the cartoon (of supposedly, Carter). MANY of you retorted to me to inform me that the incidents I wrote about were gross figments of my imagination and NEVER happened--i.e., the incident of the President running into collapse outside Camp David and the incident with the "Killer Rabbit". WHERE DO YOU THINK A CARTOONIST WOULD COME UP WITH SUCH IDEAS? I PROMISE YOU THAT HE DIDN'T GET IT FROM MY JOURNALS. INFORMATION IS THERE IF YOU BUT FIND IT.

## **Slave Labor Products: Made**

### **In China**

### **2/7/92 #1 HATONN**

By the way--remember all the current flap about China selling no-no prison-made products to the U.S.? The Bush Administration has authorized on Dec. 12, the sale of Patriot Missiles to the Republic of China on Taiwan. Raytheon Corp. spokesmen have confirmed that they have been given an export license for the sale.

**Parts for the Patriots are produced by forced prison labor in U.S. Federal prisons, and neither the government nor private producers take any measures to prevent their export. At the same time, however, the U.S. is**



pushed into objecting to China for its own crime—of exporting prison-made products to the U.S. It does explain why the only half-hearted complaint, does it not?

# CIA: The Clandestine Theory. Part II

## 2/792 #2 HATONN

Dharma, allow us to move right into the continuing subject of the THE CLANDESTINE THEORY.

### INTEGRATES CIA WITH KGB AND MOSSAD

Today is so breathtakingly important as regards the CIA that I cannot await further possibilities of awakening the masses. The cause given to you for Gates to be pushing around the players in the Middle East is some cock-and-bull story about trying harder to "bring down Saddam". Why? Just because Saddam has more weapons and war capability than when you did all your "precision bombing"? Did the CIA not do enough to destroy all credibility of both your Government and your Military? Are you insane? Well, that is NOT why Gates is gone, anyway. It is to integrate the CIA into and within the KGB, Mossad and garner into the one organization of enforcement of the World Government Control as is now operative. THIS IS the one world military-police final structuring with the most terrorist-trained groups ever to inhabit your planet. YOU ARE IN VERY, VERY GRAVE TROUBLE.

Moreover, a release or two "was leaked" telling exactly what was coming down--before the "cover story of Saddam" was issued. So be it.

### SUPPRESSED FOR EIGHTEEN YEARS

Why is is information so important? Because this was the first book ever noted which was subjected to such pressures as to be "PREPUBLICATION" CENSORED. Further--the order to not allow reprinting of the original manuscript has been issued and will be enforced. I greatly honor the author and fully intend that he be rewarded for unselfish service to his nation. The *Cleveland Press* said: "*Someone has finally ripped the blood-stained cloak off the CIA.... Congress and the American people should be shocked by this book.*" Of course it is obvious that they either were not or were never allowed to have the information--or--were so insensitive as to not bother. How do I KNOW? ***Because the original document (book) was published in 1974 (18 years ago) and you have only moved further and deeper into the pits!***

Will mankind listen to Hatonn? Not if they can help it--but they certainly won't GO BACK and research. The conspiracy and Global 2000 has been before you-the-public for decades and few noticed. Now, you are IN IT--who knows how many will see and hear--but our own destiny is to bring opportunity. It is all that we can do and then, it rests in the hands and hearts of MAN!

Back to the book in point:

## **COVERT-ACTION THEORY**

It was in such an atmosphere of restiveness and doubt, on a January evening in 1968, that a small group of former intelligence professionals and several other members of the cult of intelligence met to discuss the role of the CIA in U.S. foreign policy, not at CIA headquarters in Langley, Virginia, but at the Harold Pratt House on Park Avenue--the home of the Council on Foreign Relations. The discussion leader was investment banker C. Douglas Dillon, previously Under Secretary of State and Secretary of the Treasury; the main speaker was Richard Bissell, the former chief of the agency's Clandestine Services, still a consultant to the CIA, and now a high-ranking executive with the United Aircraft Corporation. Like most other former agency officials, Bissell was reluctant to make his views on intelligence known to the public, and the meeting was private.

In 1971, however, as part of an anti-war protest, radical students occupied the building in Cambridge, Massachusetts, that houses Harvard University's Center for International Affairs. Once inside, the protesters proceeded to barricade the entrances and ransack the files of faculty members who worked there. , Among the documents they discovered were the confidential minutes of the January 8, 1968, meeting at Pratt House. The minutes were not absolutely complete; Center associate William Harris, who had served as rapporteur for the meeting, later admitted privately, after the document had been reprinted by the African Research Group, that it had been partially edited to eliminate particularly sensitive material. Even so, the purloined version was still the most complete description of the CIA's covert-action strategy and tactics ever made available to the outside world. Aside from a few newspaper articles which appeared in 1971, however, when it was reprinted by the African Research Group, the Bissell paper attracted almost no interest from the American news media.

\*\*\*

## **CLANDESTINE RICHARD BISSELL**

Among the CIA's senior Clandestine Services officers, Richard Bissell was one of a very few who had not spent World War II in the OSS; in all other respects, he was the ideal agency professional. A product of Groton and Yale, he had impeccable Eastern Establishment credentials. Such a background was not absolutely essential to success in the CIA, but it certainly helped, especially during the Allen Dulles years. And Bissell also had the advantage of scholarly training, having earned a doctorate in economics and then having taught the subject at Yale and MIT. He joined the CIA in 1954 and immediately showed a great talent for clandestine work. By 1958 Dulles had named Bissell head of the Clandestine Services.

At the beginning of the Kennedy administration, Bissell was mentioned in White House circles as the logical candidate to succeed Dulles, who was then near seventy. Brilliant and urbane, Bissell seemed to fit perfectly, in David Halberstam's phrase, the "best and the brightest" image of the New Frontier. But Bissell's popularity with the

Kennedy administration was short-lived, for it was Bissell's Clandestine Services which planned and carried out the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba in April 1961. Bissell's operatives had not only failed, they were not even successful in inventing and maintaining a good cover story, or "plausible denial" , which every covert operation is supposed to have and which might have allowed the Kennedy administration to escape the blame. Fidel Castro had told the truth to the world about American intervention in Cuba while the U.S. Secretary of State and other administration officials had been publicly caught in outright lies when their agency-supplied cover stories fell apart. So Kennedy fired the CIA officials who had got him into the Bay of Pigs, which he himself had approved; Bissell was forced out along with Dulles and Deputy Director Charles Cabell.

## **RICHARD HELMS**

Bissell's replacement, Richard Helms, despite having been second in command in the Clandestine Services, had managed to stay remarkably untouched by the Bay of Pigs operation. Years later a very senior CIA official would still speak in amazement of the fact that not a single piece of paper existed in the agency which linked Helms to either the planning or the actual execution of the Bay of Pigs. This senior official was not at all critical of Helms, who had been very much involved in the overall supervision of the operation. The official simply was impressed by Helms' bureaucratic skill and good judgment to keeping his signature OFF the documents concerning the invasion, even in the planning stage.

## **BISSELL STAYS ON**

Helms took over from Bissell as Clandestine Services chief on February 17, 1962, and Bissell was awarded a secret intelligence medal honoring him for his years of service to the agency. But Bissell remained in close touch with clandestine programs as a consultant; the CIA did not want to lose the services of the man who had guided the agency into some of its most advanced techniques. He had been among the first during the 1950's to understand the hopelessness of spying against the Soviets and the Chinese with classic espionage methods, and hence had pushed the use of modern technology as an intelligence tool. He had been instrumental in the development of the U-2 plane, which had been among CIA's greatest successes until the Powers incident. Bissell had also promoted, with the technical help of Kelly Johnson and the so-called Skunk Works development facilities of Lockheed Aircraft Corp., the A-11, later known as SR-71, a spy plane that could fly nearly three times the speed of sound at altitudes even higher than the U-2. **[H: Anyone out there? Wait till you find out what is launched out of the "Llano", Calif. secret base of Lockheed--TODAY!]**

Moreover, Bissell had been a driving force behind the development of space satellites for intelligence purposes--at times to the embarrassment of the Air Force. He had quickly grasped the espionage potential of placing high-resolution cameras in orbit around the globe to photograph secret installations in the Soviet Union and China. And due in great part to the technical advances made by scientists and engineers working under Bissell, the CIA largely dominated the U.S. government's satellite reconnaissance programs in the late 1950's and well into the 1960's. Even today,

when the Air Force has taken over most of the operational aspects of the satellite programs, the CIA is responsible for many of the research and development breakthroughs. At the same time that Bissell was sparking many of the innovations in overhead reconnaissance, he was guiding the Clandestine Services into increased emphasis on covert-action programs in the Third World. It was Bissell who developed and put into practice much of the theory and technique which became standard operating procedure in the CIA's many interventions abroad.

\*\*\*

## **SECRET MEETING JAN. 1968 AT CFR HDQTRS.**

Bissell spoke mainly about covert action that January night in 1968 at the Council on Foreign Relations in New York, and the minutes provide a virtual textbook outline of covert operations. Among his listeners were former CIA officials Allen Dulles and Robert Amory, Jr., former State Department intelligence chief Thomas Hughes, former Kennedy aide Theodore Sorensen, columnist Joseph Kraft, and fourteen others. All others present were men who had spent most of their lives either in or on the fringes of the government. They could be trusted to remain discreet about what they heard.

Speaking freely to a friendly audience, the former Clandestine Services chief said:

Covert action (is) attempting to influence the internal affairs of other nations--sometimes called "intervention" --by covert means.  
...the technique is essentially that of "penetration", including "penetrations" of the sort which horrify classicists of covert operations, with a disregard for the "standards" and "agent recruitment rules". Many of the "penetrations" don't take the form of "hiring" but of establishing a close or friendly relationship (which may or may not be furthered by the provision of money from time to time).

Bissell was explaining that the CIA needs to have its own agents on the inside--i.e., "penetrations"--if it wants to finance a political party, guide the editorial policy of a newspaper, or carry off a military coup. CIA clandestine operators assigned overseas are called case officers, and they recruit and supervise the "penetrations". Their tours of duty are normally two to three years, and most serve with false titles in American embassies. Some live under what is called "deep cover" in foreign countries, posing as businessmen, students, newsmen, missionaries, or other seemingly innocent American visitors.

## **EMBASSY COVER**

The problem of Agency operations overseas (Bissell continued) is frequently a problem for the State Department. It tends to be true that local allies find themselves dealing always with an American and an official American--since the cover is almost invariably as a U.S. government employee. There are powerful reasons for this practice, and it will always be desirable to have some CIA personnel housed in the Embassy compound, if only for local "command post" and communications requirements.

Nonetheless, it is possible and desirable, although difficult and time-consuming, to build overseas an apparatus of unofficial cover. This would require the use or creation of private organizations, many of the personnel of which would be non-U.S. nationals, with freer entry into the local society and less implication for the official U.S. posture.

Whatever cover the case officer has, his role is to find agents willing to work with or for the CIA. His aim is to penetrate the host government, to learn its inner workings, to manipulate it for the agency's purposes.

But for the larger and more sensitive interventions (Bissell went on), the allies must have their own motivation. On the whole the Agency has been remarkably successful in finding individuals and instrumentalities with which and through which it could work in this fashion. Implied in the requirement for a pre-existing motivation is the corollary that an attempt to induce the local ally to follow a course he does not believe in will at least reduce his effectiveness and may destroy the whole operation.

## **BLACKMAIL AND COERCION**

### **USED**

Covert action is thus an exercise in seeking out "allies" willing to cooperate with the CIA, preferably individuals who believe in the same goals as the agency; at the very least, people who can be manipulated into belief in these goals. CIA case officers must be adept at convincing people that working for the agency is in their interest, and a good case officer normally will use whatever techniques are required to recruit a prospect: appeals to patriotism and anti-communism can be reinforced with flattery, or sweetened with money and power. Cruder methods involving blackmail and coercion may also be used, but are clearly less desirable.

For covert action to be most effective, the recruitment and penetration should be made long before an actual operation is scheduled. When the U.S. government secretly decides to provoke a coup in a particular country, it is then too late for CIA case officers to be looking for local allies. Instead, if the case officers have been performing their jobs well, they will have already built up a network of agents in that country's government, military forces, press, labor unions, and other important groups; thus there is, in effect, a standing force in scores of countries ready to serve the CIA when the need arises. In the interim, many of these agents also serve the agency by turning over intelligence obtained through their official positions. This intelligence can often be of tactical value to the CIA in determining local political power structures and calculating where covert action would be most effective. Again Bissell:

There is a need for continuing efforts to develop covert-action capabilities even where there is no immediate need to employ them. The central task is that of identifying potential indigenous allies--both individuals and organizations--making contact with them, and establishing the fact of a community of interest.

## **LONG TERM COVERT INFLUENCE**

This process is called, in intelligence, "building assets" or developing the operational apparatus. It is a standard function of all CIA clandestine stations and bases overseas. And when a case officer is transferred to a new assignment after several years in a post, he passes on his network of agents and contacts to his replacement, who will stay in touch with them as well as search out new "assets" himself.

Depending on the size and importance of a particular country, from one to scores of CIA case officers may operate there; together, their collective "assets" may number in the hundreds. The planners of any operation will try to orchestrate the use of the available assets so as to have the maximum possible effect. Bissell:

Covert intervention is probably most effective in situations where a comprehensive effort is undertaken with a number of separate operations designed to support and complement one another and to have a cumulatively significant effect.

## **ONLY THE CIA KNOWS**

In fact, once the CIA's case officers have built up their assets, whether or not the United States will intervene at all will be based in large part on a judgment of the potential effectiveness, importance, and trustworthiness of the CIA's agents or, in Bissell's word, "allies". Yet only case officers on the scene and, to a lesser extent, their immediate superiors in the United States are in a position to make this judgment, since only the CIA knows the identity of its agents. This information is not shared with outsiders or even widely known inside the agency, where agents are listed by code names even in top-secret documents. Thus, while the political decision to intervene must be made in the White House, it is the CIA itself (through its Clandestine Services) which supplies the President and his advisors with much of the crucial information upon which their decision to intervene is based.

Let us leave the text at this point because you are needed elsewhere, Dharma.

## **UPDATE ON BO GRITZ**

Please note that in spite of recent derogatory articles in the *Spotlight*, **a notice of "Gritz for President" WILL APPEAR IN THE FEB. 10 ISSUE OF THAT PAPER.** This thrust represents a coalition of AMERICA FIRST and I suggest all readers be given access to the information. It appears as a paid advertisement, which in itself is quite strange for it was, indeed, the *Spotlight* in support of the Populist Party which first presented "Bo" as a candidate. Things are most often NOT what they appear to be.

I will repeat a statement from "Bo" which I ask every American, not just soldiers, to ponder. You, as patriots, or in blind lip-sinc, have repeated and recited over and over again--your allegiance to the flag of the United States. Bo reminds you now:

***Every American soldier [American, period took a vow to defend the Constitution of the United States from all enemies foreign and***

**domestic...today they are domestic. IT'S BALLOTS IN '92 OR WE CAN STACK SANDBAGS IN '96!**

When you have a willing servant who has walked in the shadow of the clandestine bullets and witnessed through experience the TRUTH--you have an opportunity to change this heinously criminal reign of terror destined to descend upon you--IF YOU WANT TO! I WONDER HOW MANY WILL ACTUALLY WANT TO!?! "BO" IS RUNNING ON THE PLATFORM OF "CONSTITUTION" AND NOTHING MORE! MAY GOD ALLOW YOU INSIGHT INTO TRUTH.

Thank you for your attention. Hatonn to clear.

# **A Must See: Unauthorized Biography of George Bush**

**2/7/92 #1 HATONN**

There is an unauthorized biography afoot trying to find a publisher who is daring enough to publish, by Webster Griffin Tarpley and Anton Chaitkin, on George Bush. If we have to do the writing in parts as in a series in our *LIBERATOR* to get the information to you, we shall do so. A couple of other papers are doing so in case the book is blocked as intended. It will become evident WHY the book is banned and why I believe the administration (or someone) will finally prevent its publication. They have a publisher who is under attack at present. It is information of such confirmation and additional information that you MUST have and you must have it soon. However, it is as with the CIA material we try to get into your hands--our physical limitations of time and space are incredible. Dharma can actually write the material but without increased publication we can't get it to you. Well, our people are doing the very best they can under the circumstances.

## **Dared To Print the Next Letter**

**2/7/92 #1 HATONN**

I am continually harassed to print this or that letter in the paper as if we are in a game of tiddle-de-winks. Some, however, are worthy of note and since we are all under attack by the ADL and others for our "hate" literature which is assumed we print--none of which is read by any of the attackers or they would be finally smart enough to see they are blatant liars and cheats.

QUOTE: (Received from Van Nuys, CA. mailed on Feb. 3,1992 [no return address or date on letter as is typical but printed name of John Hooper appears at bottom of letter].)

*Dear Commander Hatonn,*

*I am writing to you regarding your publication, "The Phoenix Liberator". I would like to know what Nazi organization is feeding you the trash that you are reporting in your publication. Why do you believe that the Jewish people are to blame for all of the world's problems? [H: I don't blame ANY "Jewish people".] I can't believe the hate that is being spread in your tabloid. You're trying to hide under the cloak of some kind of alien, however, you must be exposed for what you are, and that is, a NAZI!!! Mr. (Hate)onn, you had better hope that God is an understanding being, because for the hate and propoganda you are spreading you will be placed so far down in Hell that you will be staring up at Hitler's ass. You can't really believe that educated people will believe you are getting your information from another planet. [H: Indeed not. I get it right from YOUR PLANET!] The only possible conclusion I can come up with is that you are receiving information **directly into your intestines due to the shit that is pouring out of your mouth. It's sad to me that people like you, and your kind, still exist in this world spreading their hate. The only satisfaction I get from all this is that someday you must meet your maker, and will have to answer to him for your actions and behavior.***

*Sincerely, John Hopper*

*P.S.: I would like your written response in your newspaper.*

END OF QUOTING

**Response:** Here it is, John. Does this make you proud of your intelligent proficiency? How much information from me have you read? Obviously almost none--including that which you partially read from the *LIBERATOR* in point.

How would you know about God? Will you know (recognize) God when He comes? Where do you expect Him to show up--in Israel, on TBN, in the White House--just WHERE? HOW? WHY? WHEN? I suggest you check most carefully as to WHO is spreading "hate"--or is it that only YOU have that right and ability to discern? So be it! I suggest the "last laugh" is yet to be had--and I suggest, further, it shall not be yours!

Salu

## **2/8/92 #1 HATONN**

Hatonn present in the Light of the Glorious Day gifted unto us that we might serve.  
Good morning.



## **INDIANA PLANE CRASH -** **ISLAMIC WAR**

As to the "Watch" for today--I don't wish to spend time at the matter but you students who do not realize what brought the plane to the horrendous crash in Indiana--I am disappointed. Every last detail of the incident is a clue, from the spiraling direction to the "no known cause". This is exactly what happens to a plane and crew hit by ELF beams. You are being reminded that you have no real control, What Gates (CIA) is setting up in the Middle East is a real NO-NO. How dare you arbitrarily decide to go in with terrorists and "take out" the sovereign head of a nation (Saddam Hussien). The very thought of such internationally illegal actions must boggle your minds. The insanity is almost beyond my comprehension. The point is to bring the Islamic world to war--NOW. YOU ARE ONLY A TINY MISTAKEN BREATH FROM NUCLEAR WAR. Can you not see that your administration must have a war or massive economic emergency, right now--for distraction?

**To you who sent me the information on the United Nations, etc.—thank you. You, and it, shall be guarded most carefully. You do great service to an awakening populace. It is through the sharing and daring that this task shall be served.**

**Continuation:**

### **BACK TO THE CIA:** **COVERT ACTION**

Even if the CIA's reputation for honesty and accurate assessment were unassailable (which it is not), there would still be a built-in conflict of interest in the system: the CIA draws up the intervention plans; the CIA is the only agency with the specific knowledge to evaluate the merits and the feasibility of those plans; and the CIA is the action which carries out the plans once they are approved. When the CIA has its assets in place, the inclination within the agency is to recommend their use; the form of intervention recommended will reflect the type of assets which have been earlier recruited. Further, simply because the assets are available, the top officials of the U.S. government may well rely too heavily on the CIA in a real or imagined crisis situation. To these officials, including the President, covert intervention may seem to be an easier solution to a particular problem than to allow events to follow their natural course or to seek a tortuous diplomatic settlement. The temptation to interfere in another country's internal affairs can be almost irresistible, when the means are at hand.

### **CLANDESTINE OVERRIDES** **ANALYSIS**

It is one of the contradictions of the intelligence profession, as practiced by the CIA, that the views of its substantive experts--its analysts--do not carry much weight with the clandestine operators engaging in covert action. The operators usually decide which operations to undertake without consulting the analysts. Even when pertinent intelligence studies and estimates are readily available, they are as often as not

ignored, unless they tend to support the particular covert action cause espoused by the operation. Since the days of the OSS, clandestine operators--especially in the field--have distrusted the detached viewpoint of analysts not directly involved in covert action. To ensure against contact with the analysts (and to reduce interference by high level staff members, even those in the Office of the Director) the operators usually resort to tight operational security--the "need-to-know" principle--and to bureaucratic deceptions when developing or seeking approval of a covert-action operation. Thus, it is quite possible in the CIA for the intelligence analysts to say one thing, and for the Covert-action officers to get the authorization to do another. Although the analysts saw little chance for a successful rebellion against President Sukarno in 1958, the Clandestine Services supported the abortive *coup d'etat*. Despite the analysts' view that Castro's government had the support of the Cuban people, the agency's operators attempted--and failed--at the Bay of Pigs to overthrow him. In spite of large doubts on the part of the analysts for years as to the efficacy of Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty, the CIA continued to fund these propaganda efforts until 1971, when forced by Congress to withdraw its support. Although the analysts clearly indicated that the wars in Laos and Vietnam were not winnable, the operational leadership of the CIA never ceased to devise and launch new programs in support of the local regimes and in the hope of somehow bringing about victory over the enemy. **The analysts had warned against involvement in Latin American politics, but covert action was attempted anyway to manipulate the 1964 and 1970 Chilean presidential elections.**

In theory, the dichotomy that exists between the analytical and clandestine components of the CIA is resolved at the top of the agency. It is at the Director's level that the CIA's analytical input is supposed to be balanced against the goals and risks of the covert-action operation. But it does not always, or even often, work that way. Directors like Allen Dulles and Richard Helms, both longtime clandestine operators, tend to allow their affinity for secret operations to influence their judgment. Even a remote chance of success was enough to win their approval of a covert-action proposal. The views of the analysts, if requested at all, and if they survived the bureaucratic subterfuge of the clandestine operators, were usually dismissed by the agency's leadership on the grounds that they were too vague or indecisive for the purposes of operational planning.

Still, regardless of the preference of the Director of Central Intelligence, it is the President or his National Security Advisor who provides the ultimate direction and grants the final approval for any significant covert-action program undertaken by the CIA. Often in proposing such a program the agency's operators are responding solely to a presidential directive or to orders of the National Security Council. And always when a CIA covert-action proposal is submitted for approval, the plans are reviewed by the 40 Committee, the special interdepartmental group chaired by the President's National Security Advisor. **[H: Scared yet? You realize that Kissinger sat in that "chair" until recently!]** Thus, the desire of the President or his advisor to move secretly to influence the internal events of another country is frequently the stimulus that either sparks the CIA into action or permits its operators to launch a dubious operation. Only then does the apparatus get into motion; only then do the analysts become meaningless. But "only then" means "almost always".

## **EIGHT TACTICS CIA USES**

In his talk at the Council on Foreign Relations, Bissell listed eight types of covert action, eight different ways that the CIA intervenes in the domestic affairs of other nations:

(1) political advice and counsel; (2) subsidies to an individual; (3) financial support and "technical assistance" to political parties; (4) support of private organizations, including labor unions, business firms, cooperatives, etc; (5) covert propaganda; (6) "private" training of individuals and exchange of persons; (7) economic operations, and (8) paramilitary or political action operations designed to overthrow or to support a regime (like the Bay of Pigs and the program in Laos). These operations can be classified in various ways: by the degree and type of secrecy required by their legality, and, perhaps, by their benign or hostile character.

Bissell's fifth and eighth categories--covert propaganda and paramilitary operations--are so large, so important, that they will be discussed at length in later chapters; they are, as well, somewhat self-defining. But the other six categories need some explanation at this point.

The first three categories—political advice and counsel, subsidies to an individual, and financial support and technical assistance to political parties--are usually so closely related that they are nearly impossible to separate.

**8 LINES DELETED**

The reporters who covered that affair on April 10, 1971, apparently failed to notice anything unusual about the guests. Seated in the State Dining Room at long white tables forming a large E was the usual assortment of foreign dignitaries, high U.S. government officials, and corporate executives who have become fixtures at such occasions during the Nixon years. The guest list supplied by the White House press office gave the titles and positions for almost all the diners.

**19½ LINES DELETED**

**years later, he was elected mayor of West Berlin. Throughout this period,**

**8½ LINES DELETED**

**he was a hard-working politician in Allied-occupied Berlin, and his goal of making the social Democratic party a viable alternative to communism**

**15 LINES DELETED**

And that evening after dinner, singer Pearl Bailey entertained the White House crowd in the East Room. The *Washington Post* reported the next day that she had "rocked" the White House.

**27½ LINES DELETED**

## **CIA "DEALS AROUND" U.S. AMBASSADORS**

In certain countries where the CIA has been particularly active, the agency's chief of station (COS) maintains closer ties with the head of state than does the U.S. ambassador. Usually, the ambassador is kept informed of the business transacted between the COS (who is officially subordinate to the ambassador) and the head of state (to whom the ambassador is officially accredited as the personal representative of the President of the United States). But Bissell mentioned cases in which the CIA's relationship with the local head of state was so special that the American ambassador was not informed of any of the details, because either the Secretary of State or the head of the host government preferred that the ambassador be kept ignorant of the relationships.

24<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> LINES DELETED

Still another example of a coun.... where the CIA enjoys a special relationship is Nationalist China. In Taiwan, however, the CIA's link is not with President Chang Kai-shek, but with his son and heir apparent, Premier Chiang Ching-kuo. One former CIA chief of station, Ray Cline, until late 1973 the State Department's Director of Intelligence and Research, became something of a legend within the Clandestine Services because of his frequent all-night drinking bouts with the younger Chiang.

7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> LINES DELETED

In South Vietnam, Ambassador Ellsworth Bunker insisted on personally conducting all important meetings with President Thieu; sometimes Bunker was accompanied by the CIA chief when there was agency business to be discussed. But there has been another CIA officer in Saigon who has known Thieu for many years and who has retained access to the Vietnamese President. According to a former assistant to Ambassador Bunker, this CIA officer has served as conduit between Thieu and the American government when a formal meeting is not desired or when Thieu wishes to float an idea.

41 LINES DELETED

Each man has been thought by the agency to represent a strong anticommunist force that would maintain stability in a potentially volatile country.

## **CIA MONEY CORRUPTS**

Generally speaking, the CIA's ties with foreign political leaders who receive advice and money from the agency are extremely delicate. The CIA is interested in moving the leader and, through him, his party and country into policies to the advantage of the United States. In most countries of the Third World, the United States policy is usually to maintain the *status quo*, so most subsidies are designed to strengthen the political base of those in power. The foreign leader who receives money from the CIA is typically furthering both his own career and, presumably, what he believes are the legitimate aims of his country. But even that presumption is shaky; any politician's ability to rationalize his actions probably increases once he has made the decision to accept such funds.

\* \* \*

## **STUDENT RECRUITS** **TRAPPED**

Extensive CIA involvement with private institutions at home and overseas (Bissell's fourth category of covert-action tactics) is one of the few aspects of the agency's covert-action effort to have received a good deal of public attention. The 1967 expose by *Ramparts* magazine of the CIA's clandestine connections with the National Student Association was quickly followed by a flurry of articles in the press concerning agency subsidies to scores of other organizations. Some of these institutions, particularly those used as conduits for covert funds, were under direct CIA control. Others simply were financed by the agency and steered toward policies that it favored through the manipulation of only a few of the organization's key personnel. Sam Brown, a former head of the National Student Association's National Supervisory Policy Board and later a leader in the 1968 McCarthy campaign and in the anti-war movement, told David Wise and Thomas B. Ross that in the case of the NSA, the CIA would select one or two association officers as its contacts. These officers were told that they should be aware of certain secrets and were asked to sign an oath pledging silence. Then, Brown said, they were told:

"You are employed by the CIA." At that point they were trapped, having signed a statement not to divulge anything...

This is the part of the thing that I found to be most disgusting and horrible. People were duped into this relationship with the CIA, a relationship from which there was no out.

Not all the student leaders recruited over the years by the CIA, however, were displeased with the arrangement. Some later joined the agency formally as clandestine operatives, and one rose to become executive assistant to Director Richard Helms. It was this same man who sometimes posed as an official of the Agency for International Development to entrap unsuspecting NSA officers, revealing his "cover" only after extracting pledges of secrecy and even NSA commitments to cooperate with specific CIA programs.

Tom Braden, who headed the CIA's International Organizations Division from 1950 to 1954 when that component of the Clandestine Services was responsible for subsidizing private organizations, described his own experiences in the 1967 *Saturday Evening Post* article entitled "I'm Glad the CIA is 'Immoral'":

It was my idea to give the \$50,000 to Irving Brown (of the American Federation of Labor). He needed it to pay off his strong-arm squads in Mediterranean ports, so that American supplies could be unloaded against the opposition of Communist dock workers.... At (Victor Reuther's) request, I went to Detroit one morning and gave Walter (Reuther) \$50,000 in \$50 bills. Victor spent the money mostly in West Germany, to bolster labor unions there...I remember the enormous joy I got when the Boston Symphony Orchestra won more acclaim for the U.S. in Paris than John Foster Dulles or Dwight D. Eisenhower could have

bought with a hundred speeches. And then there was *Encounter*, the magazine published in England and dedicated to the proposition that cultural achievement and political freedom were interdependent. Money for both the orchestra's tour and the magazine's publication came from the CIA, and few outside of the CIA knew about it. We had placed one agent in the Europe-based organization of intellectuals called the Congress for Cultural Freedom. Another agent became an editor of *Encounter*. The agents could not only propose anti-Communist programs to the official leaders of the organization but they could also suggest ways and means to solve the inevitable budgetary problems. Why not see if the needed money could be obtained from "American foundations"? As the agents knew, the CIA-financed foundations were quite generous when it came to the national interest.

## **PRESIDENT DIDN'T WANT IT CHANGED**

Bissell's comments seemed to be in direct contradiction to the official U.S. government policy established by the President. But Bissell, no longer a CIA officer, wasn't challenging presidential authority, and his audience understood that, just as it understood what, indeed, the Katzenbach committee had recommended. Bissell was merely reflecting the general view within the CIA and the cult of intelligence that President Johnson had been pressured by liberals and the press into taking some action to reduce the agency's involvement with private groups; that by naming Katzenbach (then considered by the CIA to be a "friend") as chairman of the committee and by making CIA Director Helms the second of its three members, the President was stacking the deck in the CIA's favor; that the agency certainly could be criticized for its lack of professional skill in so sloppily funding the private groups; *but* that, especially, the President did not wish to change appreciably the CIA's covert-actions programs.

Once the Katzenbach report appeared, the CIA arranged secret exceptions to the much-heralded new policy. Two CIA broadcasting stations, Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty, which together received more than \$30 million annually in CIA funds, were immediately placed outside the restrictions of the presidential order. And the CIA delayed withdrawing its support for other organizations whose agency ties had been exposed until new forms of financing them could be developed. Thus, **as late as 1970 the CIA was still subsidizing a major international youth organization through a penetration who was one of the organization's officers.** In some cases, "severance payments" were made that could keep an organization afloat for years.

Although the CIA had been widely funding foreign labor unions for more than fifteen years and some of the agency's labor activities were revealed in Tom Braden's *Saturday Evening Post* article, the Katzenbach committee did not specify unions as the type of organizations the CIA was barred from financing. At the 1968 Council on Foreign Relations meeting at which Bissell spoke, Meyer Bernstein, the Steelworkers Union's Director of International Labor Affairs, commented:

The turn of events has been unexpected. First, there hasn't been any real problem with international labor programs. Indeed, there has been an increase in demand for U.S. labor programs and the strain on our capacity has been

embarrassing. Formerly, these foreign labor unions knew we were short of funds, but now they all assume we have secret CIA money, and they ask for more help.

## **\$50.000 IN OLD BILLS**

Worse yet, Vic Reuther, who had been alleging that others were receiving CIA money, and whose brother's receipt of \$50,000 from CIA in old bills was subsequently disclosed by Tom Braden, still goes on with his charges that the AFL-CIO has taken CIA money. Here again, no one seems to listen.

"The net result has been as close to zero as possible. We've come to accept the CIA, like sin." So, for example, British Guiana's (Guyana) labor unions were supported through CIA conduits, but now they ask for more assistance than before. So, our expectations to the contrary, there has been almost no damage.

In Vietnam, enthusiastic officials of the U.S. embassy in Saigon were fond of saying during the late 1960s that Tran Ngoc Buu was the Samuel Gompers of the Vietnamese labor movement. They did not say-and most probably did not know--

4 LINES DELETED

## **EXCHANGE OF PERSONS**

Bissell also identified 'private' training of individuals and exchange of persons" as a form of covert action:

Often activities have been initiated through CIA channels because they could be started more quickly and informally but do not inherently need to be secret. An example might be certain exchange-of-persons programs designed to identify potential political leaders and give them some exposure to the United States. It should be noted, however, that many such innocent programs are more effective if carried out by private auspices than if supported officially by the United States Government. They do not need to be covert but if legitimate private entities such as the foundations do not initiate them, there may be no way to get them done except by covert support to "front" organizations.

He was referring to the so-called people-to-people exchange programs, most of which are funded openly by the State Department, the Agency for International Development, the Agency, and various private organizations and foundations. But the CIA has also been involved to a lesser extent, and has brought foreigners to the United States with funds secretly supplied to conduit organizations. **On occasion, the agency will sponsor the training of foreign officials at the facilities of another government agency. A favorite site is AID's International Police Academy in Washington. The academy is operated by AID's Public Safety (police) Division, which regularly supplies cover to CIA operators all over the world. And the CIA takes advantage of exchange programs to recruit agents.** While a systematic approach is not followed, the agency considers foreigners visiting the United States to be legitimate targets for recruitment.

\* \* \*

## **ECONOMIC PROGRAMS** **SELDOM WORK**

The CIA has undertaken comparatively few economic covert-action programs (Bissell's seventh category) over the years, preferring the more direct approach of paramilitary operations or propaganda. And those economic programs attempted by the agency have not been notably successful. During the mid-1960's Japanese investors were used in an effort to build up the South Vietnamese economy, because American companies tended to shy away from making substantial investments in Vietnam. The U.S. government hoped that the Japanese would fill the void at least partially, and eventually lighten U.S. aid requirements. Thus, CIA representatives promised certain Japanese businessmen that the agency would supply the investment capital if the Japanese would front for the operation and supply the technical expertise for large commercial farms. After long and detailed negotiations, the deal faltered and then failed.

## **CUBAN SUGAR: BUNGLED.** **IMBITTERED**

A few years earlier the CIA had tried to disrupt Cuba's sugar trade as part of its program to undercut Fidel Castro's regime. At one point the Clandestine Services operatives proposed that the CIA purchase large amounts of sugar and then dump it in a certain foreign country so as to destroy the market for Cuban sugar. This plan also fell through, but a more serious attack on Cuban sugar occurred in August 1962 when a British freighter under lease to the Soviets docked in Puerto Rico for repairs. The cargo, Cuban sugar destined for the Soviet Union, was placed in a bonded warehouse while the ship was in dry dock. CIA agents broke into the warehouse and contaminated the sugar with a nonpoisonous but unpalatable substance.

\* \* \*

## **PRESIDENT CAN DODGE** **CONSEQUENCES**

As pointed out earlier, one of the advantages a secret agency like the CIA provides to a President is the unique pretext of being able to disclaim responsibility for its actions. Thus, a President can direct or approve high-risk clandestine operations such as a manned overflight of the Soviet Union on the eve of a summit conference, a Bay of Pigs invasion, penetration and manipulation of private youth, labor, or cultural organizations, paramilitary adventures in Southeast Asia, or intervention in the domestic politics of Chile without openly accepting the consequences of these decisions. If the clandestine operations are successful--good. If they fail or backfire, then usually all the President and his staff need do to avoid culpability is to blame the CIA. **[H: If any of you readers are missing the point—I think there is no hope for help in your situation. This is exactly that which has been done over and over and over again and only on a couple of occasions have you-the-people even blinked your eyes.]**



In no instance has a President of the United States ever made a serious attempt to review or revamp the covert practices of the CIA. Minor alterations in operational methods and techniques have been carried out, but no basic changes in policy or practice have ever been demanded by the White House. And this is not surprising: Presidents *like* the CIA. It does their dirty work--work that might not otherwise be "do-able". When the agency fails or blunders, all the President need do is to deny, scold, or threaten.

## **PRESIDENTIAL "BLAME"** **ONLY PART OF COVER**

For the CIA's part, being the focus of presidential blame is an occupational hazard, but one hardly worth worrying about. It is merely an aspect of the cover behind which the agency operates. Like the other aspects of cover, it is part of a deception. The CIA fully realizes that it is too important to the government and the American political aristocracy for any President to do more than tinker with it. The CIA shrugs off its blunders and proceeds to devise new operations, secure in the knowledge that the White House usually cannot resist its offerings, particularly covert action--covert action that dominates, that determines, that defines the shape and purpose of the CIA. America's leaders have not yet reached the point where they are willing to forsake intervention in the internal affairs of other countries and let events naturally run their course. There still is a widely held belief in this country that America has the right and the responsibility to become involved in the internal political processes of foreign nations, and while faith in this belief and that of doctrinaire anti-communism may have been somewhat shaken in the last decade

6 LINES DELETED

\*\*\*\*\*

We will close this chapter at this point. When we pen again we will take up: The CIA and the Intelligence Community.

As you move on into involvement with a world in chaos--please remember an old adage: "We often give our enemies the means for our own destruction." Also it is most wise to always first KNOW THYSELF.

Before you can know your enemy, you must know yourself. Try to recognize your own biases, and take them into account when you analyze the cause of your troubles. If you're black, sure, you want to blame racism. If you're male, you may automatically blame women or at the least, the Women's Rights Movements. If you're female then you think for sure that it is that "good old' boy" network that's responsible for your plight. Sometimes you're right. And often, you're at least partially right. But superficial discrimination is always the easy answer, so culturally inbred that you don't bother to look further for deeper, more fundamental explanations.

Pay attention if you want the real truth. Find out WHO is getting rich, for instance. See WHO gains POWER. Nine times out of ten, even if they present themselves as "saviors" fighting to save you from disaster, those who profit from injustice and clandestine activities are also a "cause".

Follow the money and power trail. The trail inevitably leads to catalysts and cause of injustice. It is up to YOU to become accurate in discernment if you would change the plight of the nation as she is now directed.

Are my people not frightened at this unveiling of lies that you might find truth? Yes-- however they hold very dearly the words: "Yea, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death--lo, thou are with me so I shall fear no evil which passes my way. I shall be thusly protected from the slings and arrows of THINE enemy." We are never from thee, chelas. First of all, brothers--you are our FRIENDS and there is no greater honor to place upon another. So be it. Salu.

## **New Plans For the East Coast**

### **2/9/92 #1 HATONN**

Dharma, and precious readers, I would but share with you as you walk through the shadows, disappointments and disillusionments. As ones come through in their own seeking and journeys only to bring intentional pain and while working for self-gain and bringing discredit to those of you who effort to share--even, yea, with them. Lessons, all, are these things and from each encounter you gain knowledge and to tend of everything as in good business so that you are not continually stung and stricken.

The flowers of life are but illusions. How many fade away and leave no trace? How few yield any fruit? And the fruit itself, how rarely does it ripen! Are you *angry* that others disappoint you? Remember--at times you cannot depend upon yourself and this causes you to be more gentle on self in wallowing in self-pity of perceived offense. ONLY GOD, chelas, fills the void which in your breasts you bear. Yes, disappointment tears the bearable film of life. Just remember, all of you, everyone who got where he is had to begin where *he* was for all you have is NOW and wishing a thing to be different changes not an iota of it--forever. Only action and discernment can change the potential of any action. I would also note that he who incites to strife is worse, by far, than he who takes part in it.

### **PLANS FOR NEW YORK**

#### **CITY**

It is again time to speak of New York City and the planned devastation of the area. I have spoken of this before and often in passing. As long ago as 1979 you ones were given information regarding the Russian intent of geophysical warfare.

To make my point today I have to go back to what was taking place in 1980 as preparations were stepped up in intention of decimating New York City. Why would this happen? Because the Russians fully intended to get rid of all Bolsheviks and New York is the headquarters of the Bolsheviks in America. Well, quite a few are now infiltrated and integrated into Washington D.C. and other major cities such as Los Angeles--but still a massive impact would be accomplished through a show of

strength and power in the area of New York. I do not need speak of new intentions--let us see that which they were setting up back a decade.

At that time the plans extended into a northward direction and well into New England. Note I speak herein about geophysical and not missile warfare. You ones tend to think in terms of weapons of war when they have actually become totally unsuitable in fact.

There is a little known but major fault that runs along the East Coast partly offshore, partly on land, and Russian cobalt bombs for earthquake generation have long been planted in eight areas along this fault in the Northeast--now increased to a much wider area but with full capability of blowing off an extensive area--back a decade.

## **MAJOR PLANTED BOMB LOCATIONS**

So, where are the major locations of these ready-to-detonate bombs? South of Providence, Rhode Island; south of Norwich, Connecticut; several near Hartford, Connecticut; near New Haven, Connecticut; south of Springfield, Massachusetts; and near North Hampton and New Bedford, Massachusetts. But the focus is New York City which will suffer the major devastation by far. The reason is that New York, as I just said above, is the headquarters city of the Bolsheviks in America.

As Russia was setting up this mass of potential destruction there was an equally massive attempt to distract--in the form of the revolution taking place at the time, in Poland. Always beware widespread wars and revolutions--for they ARE ALWAYS precursors to devastation in some form or another. You have the very setting in point-right now.

The same type bombs are placed at every major dam, bridge and all major earth faults such as the New Madrid, San Andreas, Hayward, etc. These insure that full devastation comes with any earth movement and under planned circumstances. My intent is not to "shock" but to inform--there are over a hundred earthquake-producing bombs buried beneath the waters around New York City at this time. All are now capable of being simultaneously triggered by frequency beams.

## **INCREDIBLE ACTIONS NEAR**

Why am I reminding you NOW? Because you are as near to a devastating sequence of events as you have ever been in the history of the planet. The path of gaining power will take new and incredible lines of actions which you have not yet come to believe are possible. Part is to blame Space Brotherhood to continue in the "control" of you-the-people. You will note, at any rate, that you set aside nothing for the rebuilding of your cities and old cities such as New York are in dire need of care. The infrastructure is in near irreversible collapse. In addition, the social order has been deliberately turned into chaotic violation of human dignity or harmonious life-style capability.

Man has structured all these things and so shall it be through the hands of physical Man that it shall come to pass as the prophecies projected--THEY wrote the prophecies according to the plan of acquisition and annihilation of the over-populations. Blaming God will not *be* of benefit in any measure whatsoever--either in

bringing peace or in transition into infinite experience. Man has accomplished that which you will blame on God. Moreover, it changes not one iota of the facts--upon whomever you cast your blame--it always comes back unto thine own doorstep to roost. You can slay the messengers and all ye have is more on thine conscience in evil projection. It is your privilege to not listen nor see--IT IS MY MISSION TO TELL YOU AND SHOW YOU. THEN MY MISSION ENDS. SO BE IT.

# The CIA and the Intelligence Community

2/9/92 #2 HATONN

Since one of the most important men in your nation was also once head of the CIA, I think I shall probably increase the length of this particular subject to include integration of the biographical outlay of George Bush. Even if this requires several JOURNALS to adequately cover the material. Life, after all, is a series of totally integrated bits and pieces and must be considered in that light--for all impacts all other. We shall just move along and interrupt where appropriate.

I suggest you break up John's work on Russia into segments suitable to run in series in the *Liberator*. **It is imperative that you have background on Russia and circumstances unfolding there so that you can better discern what, exactly, is taking place and how and why it impacts you so greatly. Then, at some point we are going to have to take an in-depth look into the United Nations Charter and structure to see how and why you have been "had" unknowingly.**

Now, however, let us continue with the CIA subject in point. We shall begin today with:

## THE CIA AND THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

The CIA is big, very big. Officially, it has authorized manpower of 16,500 [1974] and an authorized budget of \$750 million [**H: This, of course, is completely outdated but serves the purpose of explanation of how the system works.**]--and even those figures are jealously guarded, generally made available only to Congress. Yet, regardless of its official size and cost, the agency is far larger and more affluent than these figures indicate.

The CIA itself does not even know how many people work for it. The 16,500 figure does not reflect the tens of thousands who serve i under contract (mercenaries, agents, consultants, etc.) or who work for the agency's proprietary companies. Nor does the figure include the guard force which protects the CIA's buildings and

installations, the maintenance and char force, or the people who run the agency's cafeterias. The General Services Administration employs most of these personnel. Past efforts to total up the number of foreign agents have never resulted in precise figures because of the inordinate secrecy and compartmentalization practiced by the Clandestine Services. Sloppy record-keeping--often deliberate on the part of the operators "for security purposes"--is also a factor. There are one-time agents hired for specific missions, contract agents who serve for extended periods of time, and career agents who spend their entire working lives secretly employed by the CIA. In some instances, contract agents are retained long after their usefulness has passed, but usually are known only to the case officers with whom they deal. One of the Watergate burglars, Eugenio Martinez, was in this category. When he was caught inside the Watergate on that day in June 1972, he still was receiving a \$100-a-month stipend from the agency for work apparently unrelated to his covert assignment for the Committee to Re-Elect the President. The CIA claims to have since dropped him from the payroll.

## **WASTEFUL PAY POLICIES**

A good chunk of the agency's annual operational funds, called "project money", is wasted in this fashion. Payments to no-longer-productive agents are justified on several grounds: the need to maintain secrecy about their operations even though these occurred years ago; the vague hope that such agents will again prove to be useful (operators are always reluctant to give up an asset, even a useless one), and the claim that the agency has a commitment to its old allies--a phenomenon known in the CIA as "emotional attachment". It is the last justification that carries the most weight within the agency. Thus, hundreds--perhaps thousands--of former Cuban, East European, and other minor clandestine agents are still on the CIA payroll, at an annual cost to the taxpayers of hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of dollars a year.

All mercenaries and many field-operations officers used in CIA paramilitary activities are also contractees and, therefore, are not reflected in the agency's authorized manpower level. The records kept on these soldiers of fortune are at best only gross approximations. In Laos and Vietnam, for example, the Clandestine Services had a fairly clear idea of how many local tribesmen were in its pay, but the operators were never quite certain of the total number of mercenaries they were financing through the agency's numerous support programs, some of which were fronted for by the Department of Defense, the Agency for International Development, and, of course, the CIA proprietary, Air America.

## **COMPUTERIZED RECORDS VIEWED AS THREAT**

Private individuals under contract to--or in confidential contact with--the agency for a wide variety of tasks other than soldiering or spying are also left out of the personnel totals, and complete records of their employment are not kept in any single place. Attempts to computerize the complete CIA employment list were frustrated and eventually scuttled by Director Helms, who viewed the effort as a potential breach of operational security. In 1967, however, when the CIA's role on American campuses was under close scrutiny because of the embarrassing National Student Association

revelations, Helms asked his staff to find out just how many university personnel were under secret contract to the CIA. After a few days of investigation, senior CIA officers reported back that they could not find the answer. Helms immediately ordered a full study of the situation, and after more than a month of searching records all over the agency, a report was handed in to Helms listing hundreds of professors and administrators on over a hundred campuses. But the staff officers who compiled the report knew that their work was incomplete. Within weeks, another campus connection was exposed in the press. The contact was not on the list that had been compiled for the director

## **UNCOUNTABLE: PLANES and PEOPLE**

Just as difficult as adding up the number of agency contractees is the task of figuring out how many people work for its proprietaries. CIA headquarters, for instance, has never been able to compute exactly the number of planes flown by the airlines it owns, and personnel figures for the proprietaries are similarly imprecise. An agency holding company, the Pacific Corporation, including Air America and Air Asia, alone accounts for almost 20,000 people, more than the entire workforce of the parent CIA. For years this vast activity was dominated and controlled by one contract agent, George Doole, who later was elevated to the rank of a career officer. Even then his operation was supervised, part time, by only a single senior officer who lamented that he did not know "what the hell was going on".

Well aware that the agency is two or three (or more) times as large as it appears to be, the CIA's leadership has consistently sought to downplay its size. During the directorship of Richard Helms, when the agency had a career-personnel ceiling of 18,000, CIA administrative officers were careful to hold the employee totals to 200 to 300 people below the authorized complement. Even at the height of the Vietnam war, while most national-security agencies were increasing their number of employees, the CIA handled its increased needs through secret contracts, thus giving a deceptive impression of personnel leanness. Other bureaucratic gambits were in a similar way to keep the agency below the 18,000 ceiling. Senior officers were often rehired on contract immediately after they retired and started to draw government pensions. Overseas, agency wives were often put on contract to perform secretarial duties.

## **PROPRIETARY COMPANIES OUTGROW CONTROL**

Just as the personnel figure is deceptive, so does the budget figure not account for a great part of the CIA's campaign chest. The agency's proprietaries are often money-making enterprises and thus provide "free" services to the parent organization. The prime examples of this phenomenon are the airlines (Air America, Air Asia, and others) organized under the CIA holding company, the Pacific Corporation, which has grown bigger than the CIA itself by conducting as much private business as possible and continually reinvesting the profits. These companies generate revenues in the tens of millions of dollars each year, but the figures are imprecise because detailed accounting of their activities is not normally required by agency bookkeepers. For all practical purposes, the proprietaries conduct their own financial affairs with a minimum of oversight from CIA headquarters. Only when a proprietary is in need of funds for, say, expansion of its fleet of planes does it request agency money. Otherwise, it

is free to use its profits in any way it sees fit. In this atmosphere, the proprietaries tend to take on lives of their own, and several have grown too big and too independent to be either controlled from or dissolved by headquarters.

## **A MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR CONGLOMERATE WITH BUDGETARY BLACK HOLES**

Similarly, the CIA's annual budget does not show the Pentagon's annual contribution to the agency, amounting to hundreds of millions of dollars, to fund certain major technical espionage programs and some particularly expensive clandestine activities. For example, the CIA's Science and Technology Directorate has an annual budget of only a little more than \$100 million, but it actually spends well over \$500 million a year. The difference is funded largely by the Air Force, which underwrites the national overhead-reconnaissance effort for the entire U.S. intelligence community. **[H: Note also that under "Black Budget" funding's there is no accounting for money in or out. It simply becomes a black hole and any project which needs more funding in secret—i's simply labeled "Black this or that" and funds are shifted or acquired through any resource desirable--as in drugs, hostages, arms dealing, etc.]** Moreover, the Clandestine Services waged a "secret" war in Laos for more than a decade at an annual cost to the government of approximately \$500 million. Yet, the CIA itself financed less than 10 percent of this amount each year. The bulk of the expense was paid for by other federal agencies, mostly the Defense Department but also the Agency for International Development.

Fully aware of these additional sources of revenue, the CIA's chief of planning and programming reverently observed a few years ago that the director does not operate a mere multimillion-dollar agency but actually runs a multibillion-dollar conglomerate-with virtually no outside oversight.

## **HIDDEN SURPLUSES**

In terms of financial assets, the CIA is not only more affluent than its official annual budget reflects, it is one of the few federal agencies that have no shortage of funds. In fact, the CIA has more money to spend than it needs. Since its creation in 1947, the agency has ended almost every fiscal year with a surplus--which it takes great pains to hide from possible discovery by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) or by the congressional oversight subcommittees. The risk of discovery is not high, however, since both the OMB and the subcommittees are usually friendly and indulgent when dealing with the CIA. Yet, each year the agency's bookkeepers, at the direction of the organization's top leadership, transfer the excess funds to the accounts of the CIA's major components with the understanding that the money will be kept available if requested by the director's office. This practice of squirreling away these extra dollars would seem particularly unnecessary because the agency always has some \$50 to \$100 million on call for unanticipated costs in a special account called the Director's Contingency Fund.

## **"WITHOUT REGARD TO PROVISIONS OF LAW"**

The Director's Contingency Fund was authorized by a piece of legislation which is unique in the American system. Under the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) was granted the privilege of expending funds "without regard to the provisions of law and regulations relating to the expenditure of Government funds; and for objects of confidential, extraordinary, or emergency nature such expenditures to be accounted for solely on the certificate of the Director..." In the past, the Fund

4 LINES DELETED

**But there have been times when the fund has been used for the highly questionable purpose of paying expenses incurred by other agencies of the government. [H: Are all of you feeling wonderfully calm and secure knowing that Gates is running around in the Middle East making deals and threats in your behalf—right NOW? WITH UNLIMITED FUNDS--YOURS!!!]**

## **CIA DID WHAT DEFENSE SECRETARY COULDN'T DO**

In 1967 Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara promised Norwegian officials that the U.S. government would provide them with some new air-defense equipment costing several million dollars. McNamara subsequently learned the equipment was not available to the Pentagon's inventories and would have to be specially purchased for delivery to Norway. He was also informed that, because of the high cost of the Vietnam war (for which the Defense Department was then seeking a supplemental appropriation from Congress), funds to procure the air-defense equipment were not immediately at hand. Further complications arose from the fact that the Secretary was then engaged in a disagreement with some members of Congress over the issue of foreign military aid. It was therefore decided not to openly request the funds for the small but potentially sticky commitment to the Norwegians. **Instead the Pentagon asked the CIA (with White House approval) to supply the money needed for the purchase of air-defense equipment. The funds were secretly transferred to the Defense**

5<sup>th</sup> LINES DELETED

## **PICKING UP LBJ'S TAB ON THE SLY**

That same year President Johnson traveled to Punta del Este, a posh resort in Uruguay, for a meeting of the Organization of American States. He entertained the attending foreign leaders in a lavish manner which he apparently thought befitted the President of the United States, and he freely dispensed expensive gifts and souvenirs. In the process, LBJ greatly exceeded the representational allowance that the State Department had set aside for the conference. When the department found itself in the embarrassing position of being unable to cover the President's bills because of



its tight budget (due in part to the economies LBJ had been demanding of the federal bureaucracy to help pay for the war in Vietnam), it was reluctant to seek additional funds from Congress. Representative John Rooney of Brooklyn, who almost singlehandedly controlled State's appropriations, had for years been a strong critic of representational funds (called the "booze allowance") for America's diplomats. Rather than face Rooney's wrath, State turned to the CIA, and the Director's Contingency Fund was used to pay for the President's fling at Punta del Este. **[H: Still think that Johnson, Bush and cohorts didn't have anything to do with the death of JFK? People, you are going to wake up or you are going down fast in the plans they have set for you now that they got away with everything so far.]**

For some reason--perhaps because of the general view in the CIA that its operations are above the law--the agency has tended to play fiscal games that other government departments would not dare engage in. One example concerns the agency's use of its employee retirement fund, certain agent and contract-personnel escrow accounts, and the CIA credit union's capital, to play the stock market. With the approval of the top CIA leadership, a small group of senior agency officers has for years secretly supervised the management of these funds and invested them in stocks, hoping to turn a greater profit than normally would be earned through the Treasury Department's traditionally low-interest but safe bank deposits and bond issues. Originally, the investment group, consisting of CIA economists and lawyers, dealt with an established Boston brokerage house which made the final investment decisions. But several years ago the Boston brokers proved too conservative to suit the agency investors, some of whom were making fatter profits with their personal portfolios. The CIA group decided it could do much better by picking its own stocks, so the brokerage house was reduced to doing only the actual stock trading (still with a handsome commission, of course). Within a matter of months the agency investors were earning bigger profits than ever before. Presumably, the gains were plowed back into the retirement, escrow, and credit-union funds. **[H: This makes Keating look like small potatoes! Just think, most of the ones in the plans in the first place are assumed names, unlisted and what recourse would there ever be for any kind of recovery of retirement funds if the ones in charge either changed their minds about paying or, in fact, lost every last cent. There, further, was no way to check on the amount of private pocketing of any funds.]** The investment practices of the CIA group in companies with overseas holdings open up some interesting questions about "insider" information. Would the CIA group have sold Anaconda Copper short in 1970 when the agency realized that its covert efforts to prevent Salvador Allende from assuming the Presidency of Chile had failed? Or in 1973, when Director James Schlesinger decided to allow William Broe, the former chief of the Clandestine Services' Western Hemisphere Division, to testify before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and describe ITT's role in trying to provoke CIA action against Allende, might the investment group not have been tempted to dump its ITT stock (if it had any)?

It is time for a rest break for lunch. Thank you. We will continue with the subject at this point when we again pen.

**2/9/92 #3 HATONN**

SUNDAY

Please continue to keep in mind that the original document from which the text herein is utilized, was brought to the public in 1974. The names will be familiar to most of you as recent disclosures come forth, i.e., "JFK" and other of our own writings of disclosure. However, at this time I do not wish to take time to bring each player or counterpart into your attention. I have done so already in many of the prior JOURNALS and LIBERATORS so please be patient and we will get it all updated after we have laid the foundation in sequence, more easily making sense of the whole. Thank you.

## **CONT'D: CIA AND INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY**

### **INVESTIGATION OF CIA FINANCES (ALMOST)**

In 1968, Senator Richard Russell of Georgia, then the Chairman of the Senate Joint Subcommittee for overseeing the CIA's activities, privately informed Director Helms that because of increasing skepticism among certain Senators about the agency operations, it probably would be a good idea for the CIA to arrange to have its financial procedures reviewed by an independent authority. Thus, in Russell's view, potential Senate critics who might be considering making an issue of the agency's special fiscal privileges would be undercut in advance. Senator Russell suggested the names of a few private individuals who might be willing to undertake such a task on behalf of the CIA. After conferring with his senior officers, Helms chose to ask Wilfred McNeil, at that time the president of Grace Shipping Lines.

3 LINES DELETED

to serve as the confidential re-viewer of the agency's budgetary practices. McNeil, a former admiral and once comptroller for the Defense Department, was thought by Helms to be ideally suited, politically and otherwise, for the assignment.

McNeil accepted the task and soon came to CIA headquarters for a full briefing on the agency's most sensitive financial procedures--including an account of the methods used for purchasing and laundering currency on the international black market. He was told of the CIA's new planning, programming, and budgeting system, modeled after the innovations Robert McNamara had introduced at the Defense Department. Agency experts explained to McNeil how funds for new operations were authorized within the agency. He learned that the agency maintained a sliding-scale system for the approval of new projects or the periodic renewal of ongoing ones; that espionage operations costing up to \$10,000 could be okayed by operators in the field, and that progressively more expensive operations necessitated branch, division, and Clandestine Services chief approval until, finally, operations costing over \$100,000 were authorized personally by the Director. McNeil also was briefed on the agency's internal auditing system to prevent field operatives from misusing secret funds.

McNeil's reaction to his long and detailed briefing was to express surprise at the scope of the CIA's financial system and to praise the accounting practices used. When asked where and when he would like to begin his work in depth, he politely demurred and departed--never to return. A month or so later a CIA officer working in the Director's

office learned that McNeil had had certain misgivings about the project and had sought the advice of former agency Director William Raborn, who had his own doubts about the reliability of the CIA's top career officers. Raborn had apparently discouraged McNeil from becoming involved in such a review. But as far as the CIA was concerned, Senator Russell's request for an independent audit had been carried out, since the agency's fiscal practices had been looked over by a qualified outsider and found to be in no need of improvement. The whole matter was then dropped.

## **THE FOUR FINANCIAL DIRECTORATES OF CIA**

The CIA is neatly organized into five district parts, a relatively small office of the Director and four functional directorates, the largest of which is the Directorate of Operations (known inside the agency as the Clandestine Services). The executive suite houses the CIA's only two political appointees, the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) and the Deputy Director (DDCI), and their immediate staffs. Included organizationally, but not physically, in the Office of the Director are two components that assist the DCI in his role as head of the U.S. intelligence community. One is a small group of senior analysts, drawn from the CIA and the other agencies of the community, which prepares the "blue books", or National Intelligence Estimates, on such subjects as Soviet strategic defense capabilities, Chinese long-range missile developments, and political outlook for Chile. These senior analysts are called National Intelligence Officers (and sometimes "the Wise Men" by their colleagues within the community). The group has replaced the Board of National Estimates, which was a larger and more formalized body of senior officers who oversaw the preparation of national estimates. The other is the Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee, a group created in 1971, which provides staff assistance to the Director in his efforts to manage and streamline the \$6-billion intelligence community. **[H: I believe it can now become more apparent to you that it will be all but impossible to dismantle the CIA in the manner suggested in recent political circles--the myriads of finger operations precludes even locating them all. It also must become apparent how easy it would have been to find ones to commit an assassination against one who was going to "scatter the CIA to the winds" as promised by Kennedy. When the jackal's tail wags the beast it is difficult to regain control.]**

The Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee, long a dream of those officers who believe the U.S. intelligence community to *be* too big and inefficient, has thus far proven to be something of a nightmare. Instead of eliminating wasteful and redundant activities within U.S. intelligence, it has been turned into a vehicle for the military intelligence agencies to justify and expand their already overly ambitious collection programs. Likewise, the recent revamping of the Board of National Estimates, under present Director William Colby, has been characterized by some experienced hands as "a sellout" to Pentagon power, caused in part by the political pressures of **HENRY KISSINGER's** National Security Council staff. Under Colby, the board has been greatly reduced in both prestige and independence, and has been brought under the stifling influence of military men whose first allegiance is to their parent services rather than to the production of objective, balanced intelligence assessments for the policy-makers.

## **INTERNAL SECURITY**

The other components of the Office of the Director include those traditionally found in governmental bureaucracies: press officers, congressional liaison, legal counsel, and so on. Only two merit special note: the Cable Secretariat and the Historical Staff. The former was established in 1950 at the insistence of the Director, General Walter Bedell Smith. When Smith, an experienced military staff officer, learned that agency communications, especially those between headquarters and the covert field stations and bases, were controlled by the Clandestine Services, he immediately demanded a change in the system. "The operators are not going to decide what secret information I will see or not see," he is reported to have said. Thus, the Cable Secretariat, or message center, was put under the Director's immediate authority. Since then, however, the operators have found other ways, when it is thought necessary, of keeping their most sensitive communications from going outside the Clandestine Services.

## **"SUBTLE" CENSORSHIP OF RETIREES**

The Historical Staff represents **one** of the CIA's more clever attempts to maintain the secrecy on which the organization thrives. Several years ago the agency began to invite retiring officers to spend an additional year or two with the agency--on contract, at regular pay--writing their official memoirs. The product of their effort is, of course, highly classified and tightly restricted. In the agency's eyes, this is far better than having former officers openly publish what really happened during their careers with the CIA.

\* \* \*

## **THE CLANDESTINE SERVICES**

The largest of the agency's four directorates in the Directorate of Operations, or the Clandestine Services, which has about 6,000 professionals and clericals. The ratio between professionals, mostly operations officers, and clericals, largely secretaries, is roughly two to one. Approximately 45 percent of the Clandestine Services personnel is stationed overseas, the vast majority using official cover--i.e., posing as representatives of the State or Defense Department. About two out of three of the people in the Clandestine Services are engaged in general intelligence activities--liaison, espionage, and counterespionage--the remainder concentrating on various forms of covert action. Yet despite the smaller number of personnel working on covert action, these interventions in the internal affairs of other countries cost about half again as much as spying and counterspying (\$260 million v. \$180 million annually).

**[H: This of course, has rocketed upward since this writing. I'm sure you ones had no idea of that which you pay for. Actually you don't pay for it directly--money is borrowed from the Elite banks and you pay for the privilege of being in debt for all generations to come. The military becomes only a backup "gun fodder" group to do the bidding of these scoundrels working their horror in secret places--again, at your expense in every way imagined. For every action which makes it to the attention of the public in any measure at all--there are hundreds of others of equal degradation and danger--under way. The point is to make sure you never find out about them. It becomes**

**easy as patriotism and yellow ribbons replace any ability to think for selves. For instance--what in all the world could one, Saddam Hussein, possibly do in a whole lifetime that had any impact on you in America in any way whatsoever? As with all things, you fight an-other's battles, forfeit your children and pay for the whole ball of wax. When are you going to get enraged enough to clean up this mess of vipers?]** The greater expense for covert action is explained by the high costs of paying for paramilitary operations and subsidizing political parties, labor unions, and other international groups.

The Clandestine Services is broken down into fifteen separate components, but its actual operating patterns do not follow the neat lines of an organizational chart. Exceptions are the rule. Certain clandestine activities which would seem to an outsider to be logically the responsibility of one component are often carried out by another--because of political sensitivity, because of an assumed need for even greater secrecy than usual, because of bureaucratic compartmentalization, or simply because things have always been done that way.

The bulk of the Clandestine Services' personnel, about 4,800 people, work in the so-called area divisions, both at headquarters and overseas. These divisions correspond roughly to the State Department's geographic bureaus--a logical breakdown, since most CIA operators in foreign countries work under State cover. The largest area division is the Far East (with about 1,500 people), followed in order of descending size by Europe (Western Europe only), Western Hemisphere (Latin America plus Canada), Near East, Soviet bloc (Eastern Europe), and Africa (with only 300 staff). The chain of command goes from the head of the Clandestine Services to the chiefs of the area divisions, then overseas to the chiefs of stations (COS) and their chiefs of bases (COB).

The CIA's stations and bases around the world serve as the principal headquarters of covert activity in the country in which each is located. The station is usually housed in the U.S. Embassy in the capital city, while bases are in other major cities or sometimes on American or foreign military bases. For example, in West Germany, the CIA's largest site for operations, the station is located in Bonn; the chief of stations is on the staff of the American ambassador. There are subordinate bases in (\*\*DE-LETED\*\*) and a few other cities, along with several bases under American military cover scattered throughout the German countryside.

## **DOMESTIC U.S. OPERATIONS "MYSTERIOUS"**

The Domestic Operations Division of Clandestine Services is, in essence, an area division, but it conducts its mysterious clandestine activities in the United States, not overseas. Its chief--like the other area-division chiefs, the civilian equivalent of a two or three-star general--works out of an office in downtown Washington, within two blocks of the White House. Under the Washington station are bases located in other major American cities.

Also in the Clandestine Services are three,, staffs, Foreign Intelligence (espionage), Counterintelligence (counterespionage), and Covert Action, which oversee operational policy in their respective specialties and provide assistance to the area divisions and the field elements. For instance, in an operation to plant a slanted news story in a

Chilean newspaper, propaganda experts on the Covert Action Staff might devise an article in cooperation with the Chilean desk of the Western Hemisphere Division. A CIA proprietary, like (\*\*DELETED\*\*) might be used to write and transmit the story to Chile so it would not be directly attributable to the agency, and then a clandestine operator working out of the American Embassy in Santiago might work through one of his penetration agents in the local press to ensure that the article is reprinted. While most CIA operations abroad are carried out through the area division, the operational staffs, particularly the Covert Action Staff, also conduct independent activities.

The Special Operations Division is something of a hybrid between the area divisions and the operational staffs. Its main function is to provide the assets for paramilitary operations, largely the contracted manpower (mercenaries or military men on loan), the materiel, and the expertise to get the job done. Its operations, however, are organizationally under the station chief in the country where they are located.

## **TECH SERVICES: JUST LIKE 007**

The remaining three components of the Clandestine Services provide technical assistance to the operational components. These three are: the Missions and Programs Staff, which does much of the bureaucratic planning and budgeting for the Clandestine Services and which writes up the justification for covert operations submitted for approval to the 40 committee; the Operational Services Division, which among other things sets up cover arrangements for clandestine officers; and the Technical Services Division, which produces in its own laboratories the gimmicks of the spy trade--the disguises, miniature cameras, tape recorders, secret writing kits, and the like. **[H: How many operations ever get finished do you suppose? Can there possibly be any goal important enough to end an individual job or adventure? Come now, chelas, would YOU work yourself out of an exciting and wondrously paid job without supervision? This is James Bond superliving! Can you imagine that any "PEACE" would be worthy of giving up a single operative officer or operation?]**

\* \* \*

## **RENAMING BRANCHES--SOUND FAMILIAR?**

The Directorate of Management and Services (formerly the Directorate of Support) **[H: Note the frequent and regular renaming of branches and operations. They are being changed even more rapidly today than even a decade ago so many of the branches of which we speak herein will have since been relabeled some four or five times depending on level of importance and power.]** is the CIA's administrative and housekeeping part. Howl ever, most of its budget and personnel is devoted to assisting the Clandestine Services in carrying out covert operations. (This directorate is sometimes referred to within the agency as the Clandestine Services' "slave" directorate.) Various forms of support are also provided to the Directorate of Intelligence and the Directorate of Science and Technology, but the needs of these two components for anything beyond routine administrative tasks are generally minimal. Covert operations, however, require a large support effort, and

the M&S Directorate, in addition to providing normal administrative assistance, contributes in such areas as communications, logistics, and training.

## **BLACK MARKET MONEY DEALS**

**The M&S Directorate's Office of Finance, for example, maintains field units in Hong Kong, Beirut, Buenos Aires, and Geneva** with easy access to the international money markets. The Office of Finance tries to keep a ready -inventory of the world's currencies on hand for future clandestine operations. Many of the purchases are made in illegal black markets where certain currencies are available at bargain rates. In some instances, most notably in the case of the South Vietnamese piaster, black-market purchases of a single currency amount to millions of dollars a year.

## **SHADES OF KOL NIDRE**

The Office of Security provides physical protection for clandestine installations at home and abroad and conducts polygraph (lie detector) tests for all CIA employees and contract personnel and most foreign agents. **[H: I want it herein noted before we go further--that CIA personnel and especially agents in Covert Operations such as Oliver North, are required to be able to pass any type of lie detector testing device. In other words, the major training of CIA personnel is to LIE. They become most proficient at it as you can see from ones such as Gordon Liddy who is able to become totally "blank" in all situations and North who looks like an Angel on a mission of mercy, touched only by love and compassion. This is lying at its epitome of perfection and use. The more proficient "players" actually have convinced themselves that they represent that which they tout.]** The Office of Medical Services heals the sicknesses and illnesses (both mental and physical) of CIA personnel by providing "cleared" psychiatrists and physicians to treat agency officers; analyzes prospective and already recruited agents; and prepares "psychological profiles" of foreign leaders (and once, in 1971, , at the request of the Watergate "plumbers" , did a profile of Daniel Ellsberg). The Office 'of Logistics operates the agency's weapons and other warehouses in the United States and overseas, supplies normal office equipment and household furniture, as well as the more esoteric clandestine materiel to foreign stations and bases, and performs other housekeeping chores. The Office of Communications, employing over 40 percent of the Directorate of Management and Service's more than 5,000 career employees, maintains facilities for secret communications between CIA headquarters and the hundreds of stations and bases overseas. It also provides the same services, on a reimbursable basis, for the State Department and most of its embassies and consulates. The Office of Training operates the agency's training facilities at many locations around the United States, and a few overseas. (The Office of Communications, however, runs

2 LINES DELETED

The Office of Personnel handles the recruitment and record-keeping for the CIA's career personnel.

Support functions are often vital for successful conduct of covert operations, and a good support officer, like a good supply sergeant in an army, is indispensable to a CIA station or base. Once a station chief has found the right support officer, one who can provide everything from housekeeping to operational support, the two will often form a professional alliance and stay together as they move from post to post during their careers. In some instances the senior support officer may even serve as the *de facto* second-in-command because of this close relationship with the chief.

## **KEY PERSONNEL NOT AS PRESENTED PUBLICLY**

Together, the Clandestine Services and the Directorate for Management and Services constitute an agency within an agency. These two components, like the largest and most dangerous part of an iceberg, float along virtually unseen. Their missions, methods, and personnel are quite different from those of the CIA's other two directorates which account for only less than a third of the agency's budget and manpower. Yet the CIA--and particularly former Director Richard Helms--has tried to convince the American public that the analysts and technicians of the Directorates for Intelligence and Science and Technology, the clean white tip of the CIA iceberg, are the agency's key personnel.

\* \* \*

Dharma, thank you for the extra hours of writing today. It is necessary for we have so little time to get this forth into the public hands. With this type of police force at the beck and call of the President--and the Congress in the total control of the Zionists--you ones of the citizenry are at great disadvantage.

I suggest as reading material to accompany this outlay of information, are Stockwell's IN SEARCH OF ENEMIES and Ostrovsky's BY WAY OF DECEPTION. You must understand that you are no longer (and haven't for a very long time) dealing with a nice bunch of American kids off playing James Bond. You are now within a functioning international intelligence terrorist organization which will function in service to the United Nations World Order Government which in turn is, and will be, run by the Committee of 300 World Elite.

I suggest we close now, Dharma, so that you are not late for your appointment. I bid you good evening and blessings rest upon you all, of our beloved brotherhood in Lighted Service. I realize it is as difficult for you of our workers to face this information as for any reader at random choosing. It is necessary for all of you to see and know.

Salu, Hatonn to clear.



# The Russian Report

**1/31/92 DR. JOHN COLEMAN**

The condition of the former "Union of Soviet Socialist Republics" as we enter 1992 remains a source of concern to those who believe the "Third Rome" planners have changed their goals. To them, the new Russian federation presents a greater threat to our already badly battered Western ideals than anything at the height of the cold war. We shall be looking at the underlying reasons and examine political, social, economic and nationalist forces combining to create great pressures that will bring the dangerous conditions brought on by the collapse of the USSR to a boil, and which may be the most unsafe and unstable period in relations between Russia and the United States.

As communist ideas became less attractive following the post WW II era, so the Russian people began to express cynicism in a more open way, especially after the death of Joseph Stalin. The period from 1960 to the present saw the rise of Russian nationalism, determined to clean the motherland of those elements held responsible for the rise and triumph of Bolshevism, which they recognized as a foreign ideology imposed upon Russia by a foreign body of conspirators at the head of which stood Zionism.

Artificially created and inspired "patriotism" and zeal for the revolution began to fade, and the vacuum was filled with fear of a nuclear war which the United States would start. In a sense, this helped the country to retain unity, even though the "ideals" that had formerly held it together had long since vanished. The United States passed through a similar period where the USSR was portrayed as a dangerous nuclear power ready to strike at a moment's notice and which had constantly to be appeased. This sense of appeasement angered and distressed millions of Americans who accused their government of treason, a charge that was in most instances fully justified.

As we enter 1992, the U.S. Government would have us believe that "communism is dead" and that the only remaining superpower is America. These dangerous and foolhardy ideas are a trap for the unwary. The One World Government-New World Order has a master plan for the former USSR, and whether this will be called communism or some other "ism" is not the point. The point is that, one way or another, the Russian people will not be free, but like the United States, will be shepherded into a One World Government-New World Order. Both countries are controlled by the same One World cult force we know as the Committee of 300.

During the period 1930-1970 Stalin grew increasingly disenchanted with the "cosmopolitans" both at home and abroad. "Cosmopolitans" was the term coined by Stalin to describe world revolutionary forces led by Trotsky drawn from the ranks of Zionism. Stalin particularly despised and distrusted the "Washington cosmopolitans" and later Trotsky himself. It is for this reason that so many people in Russia want a return to Stalinism, which they saw as a bulwark against further domination of their country by the Zionist Bolsheviks who seized control of Russia in October 1917. It is not that they wanted a return to repression, but to 70% of the population of Russia

today, Stalin represented a father figure who would defend Russian interests and see to their physical needs.

This attitude is what Yeltsin finds so disturbing, and he was forced to confront it when addressing the Army in an effort to bring it to follow him as a national leader--an attempt that did not succeed. Around 1934, Stalin changed the emphasis of his opposition from "rotten capitalism" to "rotten cosmopolitanism". In 1934 he ordered all labels dropped, in fact he appeared to begin to lose his appetite for ideologies which became clear with the dethroning of Nikolai Bukharin and his Washington-drafted "New Economic Policy" (NEP) authored by Berle Schultz. Bukharin was subsequently executed along with most of the Zionist leaders of the old guard Bolsheviks.

In 1941 Stalin's changed attitude became evident when he was forced to go to the Russian people to ask their help against the invading German Army, which would have put an end to communism for good had it not been for the despised "cosmopolitans" who also saw the danger posed by Germany, which seemed about to end Wall Street and the City of London's heavy financial and revolutionary investment in Russia. For different reasons, the Wall Street and City of London policy makers then made another huge investment in Russia by entering the war against Germany. To suppose now that all this is forgotten and that "communism is dead" is to fly in the face of reality.

In 1941 Stalin aroused nationalist passions and the deep love the Russian people have for the soil and traditions of Russia, which Bolshevism had striven to stamp out along with religious passions, but which, in spite of every effort, survived the Red Terror of Dzerzhinsky and Yuri Andropov's KGB terrorist attacks. All during the crucial year of 1941, Stalin never once used communist or Bolshevik-socialist terms. It was during the struggle with the German invaders that Stalin became convinced he had scotched a plot to Westernise Russia. The thousands of Bolsheviks killed in the purge that followed were the victims of Stalin's determination not to allow the "Cosmopolitans" to take over Russia.

Today Stalin must be whirling in his grave as the choice of Wall Street and the City of London, Boris Yeltsin, opens wide the doors he had so tightly shut against Westernizing Russia. It was not that Stalin did not wish to have a modern, industrialized nation; on the contrary it was his dream to make Russia a modern nation, but he did not want this to be accomplished at the cost of the cosmopolitans taking control of the USSR.

Stalin did not view Western technology as a primary political trick although Lenin had viewed it as political with the economic aspect secondary. Stalin knew otherwise, his deepening distrust of the Zionist movers and shakers of the Bolshevik Revolution had intensified his hatred of the cosmopolitans. As pointed out, his viewpoint of an industrialized Russia differed from that of Lenin because Lenin, unlike himself, had not had to contend with a general dislike of communism in the West, the threats posed by war, nor did he have to watch his back in case the Washington cosmopolitans turned on him. Stalin was far-sighted in that he knew if the Central European nations went beyond Finlandisation, it would spell the breakup of the USSR.

Looking back now to 1990 when my work, "Russia 1991--The Second Revolution Has Begun" was written, I can see just how accurate the forecast was with the collapse of communism per se in Central Europe as demands by the Baltic States for independence emerged. In "Russia 1991--The Second Revolution Has Begun", I wrote the following:

"Today, Gorbachev and the Communist Party are fully aware that without the help of the U.S. in technology, goods, long lines of credit, the USSR will go down. The military leadership does not share this "fourth factor" view of dependency, which is why we are probably at the most dangerous period of our relationship with the USSR." Those words proved to be almost prophetic, but as I have so often stressed, it was not my ability to prophesy but my good fortune in having the best--bar-none-- access to intelligence information that enabled me to come to such an accurate conclusion.

In the same work I took Senators Gramm and Sam Nunn to task for aggravating the Russian military by stupidly declaring that the U.S. "Is the only superpower in the world today," which, as subsequent events confirmed, is exactly what happened:

"Gramm's utterly reckless statement has intensely upset the Soviet military establishment with subsequent repercussions which will put the U.S. and the USSR on a collision course." That this happened is fully reported in my World Intelligence Review under the title "Baker gets a Drubbing in Moscow" in which I described the deep hostility felt by SOYUZ and the Russian military high command over the breaking of agreements between them and the U.S. about the conduct of the Gulf War. That hostility has grown in intensity.

"The threat of war is the best way for Orgakov and his military officer class in PAMYAT and SOYUZ to deal with Gramm's folly. We shall soon discover that the best way the USSR can get what it needs in technology, money and goods is to threaten war. Soviet foreign policy will go in cycles, from cozy rosy 'detente' to the cold war and worse." Yeltsin has apparently learned the truth of this because, as I shall show, his grand tour in February 1992 was for the purposes of demanding massive aid from the West or else face the threat of a return to a totalitarian regime. Yeltsin constantly warned that the military have their own ideas on what should happen in the future:

Not since the days of the hated and mistrusted cosmopolitan Roosevelt has the United States been in such disfavor. George Bush is probably the most hated man in Washington today. "He represents Roosevelt at his worst", a member of Pamyat told me. "If Gorbachev can stay in power, he will offer to mediate against a military confrontation in exchange for further aid... The next cycle will be to use the period of peace to expand Soviet military power. The threat of war, especially a nuclear war, will do the trick."

While Yeltsin is careful not to mention war, he is nevertheless pulling out all the stops by issuing grim warnings that if the West does not come across with all of the massive aid he is demanding, and if it does not do so quickly, then a threat of independent action by the Russian military is highly likely, and I, for one, believe his prognosis is correct:

"There is one factor standing in the way of Gorbachev's modus operandi, the weakness of the Soviet economy. Or is it all that weak? Is Gorbachev playing the 'bread distribution game' or is there a genuine breakdown in the economy of the Soviet Union? It will be recalled that when the attempted revolution of 1905 was mounted, it began in St. Petersburg with hungry mobs storming the Czar's Winter Palace. Actually, there was no shortage of bread. Distribution lines had been deliberately cut so that supplies of bread could not get through." (From "Russia 1991- -The Second Revolution Has Begun".)

As we shall see, it appears as if Yeltsin is playing the game I reported in 1990 or else, in 1992, it is a case of his instructions to open military food stockpiles being disregarded by the Army. There is a great deal of evidence to show that food is available but is being held back in order to persuade the West that near famine conditions prevail in Russia. The military has made it perfectly clear that Yeltsin is NOT their man, mistrusted because he is too close to Washington.

Again in 1990 I wrote about Gorbachev's reforms: Valentin Rasputin stated, "There has been a little democratization, but that is it! The perestroika bluff cannot continue any further, the whole world must know the truth, the dark elements are to all practical purposes waging a struggle against the indigenous population of the country and destroying the national face of the people...They are reviving Trotskyism so that they can discard socialism and sow chaos in the state, and open the sluice gates of capitalism and Western ideology. PAMYAT represents, and is the will of public opinion in the country and who shall dare to act against its own people?"

Now, in 1992, looking back over the two years since these words were written, it is astonishing how accurate they have proved to be. Today the Russian military, PAMYAT and SOYUZ are resolutely opposed to Boris Yeltsin and the "perestroika bluff". They see that Nikolai Bukharin has been substituted by Jeffrey Sachs and they do not like it. There is growing disquiet among the 30 nationalist patriotic groups including the Russian National. Monuments Commission, PAMYAT and SOYUZ.

Wide support for the nationalist groups is coming from Vice President Alexander Rutskoi who is fuming over what he calls "the sacrifices Yeltsin is prepared to make among pensioners and old people who drop like flies while standing in line for non-existent food." Valentin Rasputin, an important PAMYAT leader, is calling for a "nationalist convention to show opposition to the suicidal policies of Yeltsin which do not differ at all from the Bolsheviks who murdered millions of our people. Why should we stand still while Gaidar (a reference to Deputy Prime Minister Yegor T.Gaidar) sacrifices our people in the name of the new Nikolai Bukharin, a Zionist Professor Sachs? How is this different from the days of the Bolsheviks?"

Rasputin was widely backed when he called for an emergency "national convention at which all patriotic nationalist forces express their hatred of the Washington puppet Boris Yeltsin." It is believed that such a national convention is now in the making and is planned for some time in February. There has been a concerted attempt to downplay the influence of PAMYAT on current affairs in Russia.

This emanated from the Brookings Institution advisors to Boris Yeltsin, and the C.I.A. who see in nationalist patriotic groups a serious threat to their man Yeltsin. As mentioned, there are over 30 such groups, although PAMYAT, the RUSSIAN NATIONALIST MONUMENT COMMISSION and SOYUZ are the undisputed leaders. I expect to see a movement develop in the United States which will label PAMYAT a "fascist organization" because many PAMYAT leaders wear black shirts and do not hesitate to denounce Zionism at every opportunity.

Try as they might, the U.S.-inspired anti-nationalist forces have not been able to muffle the growing sense of intense patriotism that is sweeping Russia as we enter 1992. Far from being "of not much consequence", PAMYAT is playing a leading role in nurturing this feeling and expanding its role in current Russian affairs. Opposition to PAMYAT is coming from Natalya A. Narochnitskya, of the Constitutional Democrats, who is believed to be a Zionist plant, a "cut out" for the C.I.A.

I think Yeltsin was right to tell his London, Paris, Washington and New York audiences that he walks a fine line, and that time is a crucial factor. In so doing Yeltsin de facto acknowledged that he is afraid of the Army and that if massive aid from the West is not forthcoming immediately, the Army might very well seize power, this time in a genuine coup.

Quoting again from my "Russia 1991" Report:

"The military helped to stymie Gorbachev's reforms; they perceived Gorbachev diverting or attempting to divert resources to a civilian economy, resources which had hitherto always come to the military industrial complex... What now for Gorbachev? In my view he will soon be forced to make a choice: Stay with his U.S. allies and consequently break with the military, or drop Washington in so far as it is possible to do so without losing too much aid, thus staying with the military. If Gorbachev chooses the latter course we can be certain that the Second Revolution will gather momentum with PAMYAT and its allies going all out to purge the remaining Zionists in the Politburo and the higher councils of the Communist Party."

We can see the above analysis and forecasts were almost totally correct; Gorbachev opted to stay with the military, which became apparent when he allowed General Akromeyer to verbally rip a shocked and startled James Baker III during his first visit to Moscow since the end of the Gulf War. Gorbachev then underlined his support of the military industrial complex by telling Baker that the climate was not suitable for further arms reduction talks.

A visibly surprised and shaken Baker did not visit Moscow again until after the breakup of the former USSR, one of the longest periods without direct contact with Moscow in the history of State Department. At one point in this period, Gorbachev was in Scandinavia, less than 100 miles from where Baker was, yet the two never made any attempt to meet or even talk on the phone.

Again, from "Russia 1991":

"Right now, Pamyat and its allies accuse Gorbachev of 'restoring the cancers of capitalism; rapid economic growth followed by inflation, higher prices, unemployment'

and what it calls 'the anarchy of production, a free market economy.' Nearly all the Russian nationalist leaders are against a free market economy. A severe critic of Gorbachev is Colonel Viktor Alksnis, spokesman for SOYUZ, who said after Gorbachev's speech promising a solution to economic problems by demanding sweeping powers for himself: 'The President's speech failed to show a way out of the crisis.' If Gorbachev fails to solve economic difficulties at home and does not crush the rebellious Baltic States, his days in power are numbered. A bitter power struggle is in the making, the outcome of which will affect the U.S. for the next decade... "

Gorbachev's fall began when he started favoring the military. Dispatched to Moscow, special envoy Robert Strauss met with the top members of the old Raskolnik families who consult with the Committee of 300 and who have controlled Russia since the downfall of the Romanovs. There were lengthy consultations, with Strauss reporting back to President Bush sometimes as much as three times a day. Finally, the joint decision was made, Gorbachev would be replaced with a promise of a substantial cash payment and a job as the head of Kissinger Associates in Moscow. As we see, the predictions made in 1990 in the most part came to pass in 1991. On December 25th, Gorbachev re signed and turned the country over to Washington's choice as his successor, Boris Yeltsin, who but a few short months earlier had been snubbed and shunned by the entire Washington establishment.

Again, from Russia 1991:

"` PAMYAT sees this (New World Order) as yet another Zionist conspiracy and wants no part of it.' said Valentin Rasputin. Thus the Soviet Army remains on alert as witness the recall of three top Army generals for consultation at the height of the Gulf crisis when it was thought possible that President Bush might just be mad enough to use nuclear weapons against Iraq."

Events that have transpired since this work was written in 1990 show all too clearly that there are going to be serious problems in the country before the end of 1992, whether it comes from a new "ism" or under some other guise to reintroduce totalitarianism in the country remains to be seen. While COMMUNISM may be dead, the Committee of 300 plan to once again seize Russia by the hair of its head is not. Labels are NOT important at this stage.

Boris Yeltsin, who as late as June of 1990, was persona non grata with the Washington establishment, has become its darling, its favourite Russian son. Gorbachev was swept out of power because the nomenklatura, the old Raskolnik families, withdrew their support--after he had done all of the spadework. They made Gorbachev the scapegoat for reforms they wished to force on the Russian people. His switch of loyalty to the military was another factor in his downfall. Whatever else is said of Gorbachev, he kept his dignity and, of course, a cash payment of \$2 million and a cushy job as the head of Kissinger Associates Moscow office did help.

Russian patriotic groups *under* the banner of PAMYAT and SOYUZ have hit Washington hard, relations with these groups going from bad to worse since the close of the Gulf War. When James Baker III made his post-Gulf War trip to Moscow, he was considerably shocked and shaken by the reception he got at the hands of PAMYAT and SOYUZ. The Russian military, betrayed by broken promises given to

them by the Bush Administration and smarting from the loss of face with the Islamic world, voiced their determination to retaliate. They did this by giving notice that henceforth they would not abide by any new arms treaties. This has had the effect of considerably delaying START talks, which now may not ever take place. Their request to confront Baker was granted by Gorbachev.

When pressed by a series of high-level U.S. emissaries who visited Moscow in 1991, the Russian Armed Forces high command consistently refused to hand over nuclear weapons, both long range (ICBM), and short range, (Tactical Theater). They did this in the face of bribery offered by Yeltsin, who was stunned by the hostility of 5000 officers whom he addressed in January 1992. His promise of better pay and better housing was greeted with a stony silence. "What is the matter with you?" Yeltsin petulantly demanded of the group, "I offer you better housing and better pay and you say nothing."

While the leadership of the Soviet Armed Forces may not be willing to talk with Yeltsin, they have certainly been willing to talk with the British press. *The London Times* representative was taken on a tour of ICBM silos, and he reported that "nothing has changed". The officer in charge of the visit told *The Times* reporter that, as far as he was concerned, it was business as usual and that the military was not taking its orders from anyone other than its own high command. Yeltsin made a brave showing by saying that the military cannot act on its own, he has one button and they have the other. "They cannot act independently of me," said Yeltsin.

Top intelligence sources are not so sure. There is doubt about Yeltsin being the final hand on nuclear weapons and, as one of my sources told me, "If it came to push and shove, then the military would go into the Kremlin and force Yeltsin to give up the control command case." Yeltsin is not liked by the military who still regard him as a "money-grabbing opportunist" and, while this attitude persists, Yeltsin knows he is in trouble. Although we in the U.S. have not been allowed to witness what is going on, in Moscow in particular, almost every day there are demonstrations against his dictatorial rule by decree.

Perhaps the worst mistake that Yeltsin has made thus far was to allow Jeffrey Sachs to dictate Russian economic policies under the flag of "free trade". Just as happened in Poland, Sachs' free trade policies have wrecked Russian food production. When Yeltsin abolished price supports and price controls on the say-so of Sachs, shops quickly emptied as food producers withheld their goods from the market because they expected food riots to break out and did not want to be the losers.

Yeltsin promised Russian consumers that, by lifting price controls, an abundance of food would come to the market. Prices skyrocketed, but there was no corresponding increase in food stocks. This has left the Russian consumer with a healthy disrespect for Yeltsin, which may be one of the main reason why my intelligence source does not expect him to last beyond April.

Russian nationalist patriotic groups, including the Russian Christian Democrats, are complaining bitterly about the chaotic food situation which began on January 2nd this year when Yeltsin lifted price controls and abolished production subsidies on food-stuffs which caused prices in Moscow and St. Petersburg shops to rise as high as

twenty times their former level. Colonel Viktor Alksnis said that the "social explosion" he had warned of in 1991 "is about to erupt."

Leading the chorus of discontent are members of PAMYAT and SOYUZ. Today it is commonplace to see Russian military personnel in uniform demonstrating against Yeltsin. HUNDREDS of demonstrators wear Russian national costumes, some even dress as Cossacks and groups playing balalaikas sing traditional Russian national patriotic songs expressing a love for Mother Russia. The unthinkable has happened. The Western press is trying to play down these significant developments by largely ignoring them and portraying SOYUZ and the Russian military as unable to do without Yeltsin's help. In fact the shoe is on the other foot. That appears to be the case when it comes to stocks of food, spare parts, fuel, ammunition, uniforms and other items the Russian military would need to recover from a war.

According to the latest CIA reports on the situation, the Russian military has stored away, in nuclear-attack-proof shelters, stocks of grain amounting to 362 million metric tons and has added to it recently by as much as 14 million metric tons. In addition, the Army has enough supplies to fight a 90-day war against the West or 180 day war against China. As I have often mentioned in my Reports and monographs, the Army fully expects to fight a lightning war against Western Europe (except Germany) that would last no more than 3-4 days. Ever since 1953, the Army has been preparing for it as well as a war with China.

The 87 closed Russian cities manufacturing nuclear and conventional weapons remain closed--under the control of the military industrial complex, and Yeltsin has made no attempt thus far to upset this arrangement. Thanks to USTEC, the Russian military now has stocks of food and other materials amounting to at least 200 billion rubles or about 25% of Russia's Gross National Product. CIA analysis has it that Russia has enough grain to feed the population of the Russian Federation states for three years. Marshall Orgakov more or less confirmed this information in an article he recently wrote in the "Soviet Military Encyclopedia". So the idea that the military could quickly be starved of food and raw materials is merely so much wishful thinking. Moreover, in the event that such a move should be made, it could provoke instant action by the Army and could easily lead to the Third World War.

Russian nationalist groups have kept very tight control over developments against Army policy. They are fully aware that Yeltsin, acting as Washington's lackey, is doing his best to split the armed forces by his favorite method, viz., decrees, and thus make it easier to have a final showdown over who shall control Russian nuclear weapons and stockpiles of food.

Technically, Yeltsin is supposed to be able to take over these stockpiles under his Decree RSFSR of November 28th, 1991, but the Army will not abide by it because it says that Yeltsin was turned down on exactly the same thing by the former Supreme Soviet. Yeltsin's Decree RSFSR calls for his jurisdiction over "all territorial administrations, institutions, enterprises, depots and safe custody stores, with all material assets from state and mobilization reserves". Thus far the Army has completely ignored it because, they say, a confederacy of sovereign but unequal states cannot take the place of ONE SOVIET UNION. History may prove them right.



Another argument advanced by PAMYAT and SOYUZ is that to describe the federation as a democracy is mere whitewash to cover the indisputable fact that Yeltsin rules by decree which very closely resembles Czarist and even Stalinist tactics. They also point to the provinces where Communist Party members and the old bureaucracy still run EVERYTHING. The Army says quite categorically: "There are no democrats in power anywhere in the republics."

A former intelligence colleague of mine who has just returned from a five-week visit to Russia, where he met with several PAMYAT leaders, gave me a letter from one of them in which *he* said, among several other things: "Boris Yeltsin is deceiving the American people. What is going on in Russia is not about political and economic systems; it is about a struggle for power, for unspeakable privileges and above all about PROPERTY."

"Yeltsin is not for democracy. It is a battle by Yeltsin and his Raskolniks as to what type of public and private capital mix will show the best return, the greatest profit. The people of Russia who have suffered for so long will not have any say in these matters; Yeltsin and the Washington cosmopolitans are the ones. Yeltsin's regime is an absolute FRAUD. What we have is the largest country in the world in so far as geographical boundaries go, a country that has disintegrated, leaving no sure hand to guide our future and 27,000 nuclear weapons in position."

Those in Congress whom we trusted with our future and who continue to claim victory in the cold war should think again before crowing. Another matter of concern expressed by PAMYAT and SOYUZ leaders is the arms trade. With the federated republics in desperate straits for hard currency, it is highly unlikely that any of them will curb arms exports. It has even been suggested that since the break-up of the USSR, sales of military hardware are likely to show sharp increases, and this is already happening. China is seen as a threat to exports of Russian-made armaments to Iran, Turkey and Pakistan and, with this in mind, the Russian military leaders are anxious to preempt a buildup of Chinese arms to nations on the borders of its federated republics.

Pamyat and Soyuz point the finger of suspicion at Yeltsin and Washington, whose quick recognition of Yeltsin, they say, was to bring about a break-up of the USSR and to encourage the republics to split and go their own way. "President Bush and Mr. Baker think of Russia as an aggressive nation, which is why fragmentation is so necessary for them. In our view, by encouraging the Ukraine to break away, President Bush has set the stage for a possible nuclear war as a revived NATIONALIST RUSSIA seeks to regroup and reunite at some time in the future," the PAMYAT letter to me stated.

While the "coup that never was" set PAMYAT and SOYUZ back and brought a lot of dislocation by the loss of its top leaders, the movement has been strong enough to regroup and is daily voicing more and more opposition to what Vitaly Tretyakov, editor of the *Nezavisimaya Gazeta* sarcastically called "Washington Yeltsin's Government". Apparently Russian newspapers are hard hit by a shortage of newsprint; well, not actually newsprint, but the hard currency being demanded by paper mills. From sausage makers to red cabbage bottling plants, NOBODY wants rubles.

The Army and its Russian nationalist patriotic movement allies are looking with a jaundiced eye at the negotiations being conducted by Viktor Posner and Eduard Sagalayev for setting up Western-style television stations in Russia. "We do not want American decadence introduced into our culture. We are an ancient and proud nation, we don't want rock and roll, drugs and abortion here in this nation, and we will do all we can to stop it," a military officer from SOYUZ told my colleague just a few weeks ago.

"There is no money and no market for purely Russian culture broadcasts, so what will we get? Strident American television fare which has been so destructive for the American nation's soul. What the Bolsheviks took by force in 1917, they did with the connivance of Wall Street; now they want to do the same thing again, only this time it will be through social decadence and cultural rot," he said.

Deputy Foreign Minister Yegor Gaidar of the Russian Federation whose Jeffrey Sachs reform policies are creating economic havoc in Russia is on record as saying that Yeltsin will not last beyond 1993 and in fact went further by saying, "...it is well known that the first government that starts a price liberalization almost always has to resign. There is nothing terrible in this fact by itself because it is quite obvious that something has to be done and somebody has to pay the political price for this. Somebody has to begin."

While observers note that Gorbachev has already paid the price, the full fury of the Russian people will fall on Yeltsin because he is there and most of the effects of price liberalization will have become all too real by April. This was described in reference to the Jeffrey Sachs Polish experiment:

"In Poland the population's economic situation deteriorated after prices were liberalized...Rejection of free market prices and a catastrophic crash lies in store for us too." What "April Surprise" will comprise has already been described by SOYUZ and PAMYAT and Vice President Aleksander Ruskoy, as "suicide, anarchy, crippling shortages, monstrous lawlessness, a fall in production, lack of investment capital, famine and the inevitable social explosion" which were predicted by Colonel Viktor Alksnis, who said that Russia will by then have "gone to hell".

Rising to the challenge, Yeltsin is warning that unless substantial help is received, things in Russia might begin to unravel. The question is, has Yeltsin conceded that in spite of his Decree RSFSR he has no way to force the Army to loosen its hold on grain and other vitals supplies, or is his plea for aid merely a threat to get additional help from the West?

"We are calling for cooperation with the whole world because, if the reform in Russia goes under, that means there will be a cold war again, and that cold war could turn into a hot war with an arms race once more," Yeltsin said. This tactic is not new; Yeltsin may even try to repeat a phony coup attempt, such as was produced by Gorbachev. An "attempted coup" would add urgency to Yeltsin's request for more and more aid. It is a possible tactic that might work. Adding an extra punch to Yeltsin's words was Yegor T. Gaidar, deputy prime minister for economic reforms, who told John Major, Brian Mulroney, Francois Mitterand and the United Nations, "Touch and

go, everything is touch and go. The next two or three months could be very negatively decisive for us."

On being asked how sure he was that Yeltsin actually had control of nuclear weapons, Gaidar said there was no question that nuclear weapons were under the president's control. In an appearance before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Secretary of State James Baker III appeared to be very uncertain that Yeltsin's confidence could be backed up. In one of his worst ever performances, when it came to answering questions from the Senators about control of nuclear weapons, Baker was halting, stumbling over his words, and eventually could say only that TACTICAL (theater) weapons "were under control". Every analyst and observer of Russian military matters, including my own source, said that nobody believed Baker's totally unconvincing testimony. My source told me, "It is clear from Baker's demeanor and his answers that control of nuclear weapons is far from being a settled issue."

Apparently Defense Secretary Richard Cheney is not sure that Yeltsin has control of nuclear weapons, let alone that the Army will obey his orders. Seemingly going against the optimism of the Bush Administration, Cheney said he wasn't going to agree with Yeltsin's overkill arms reduction proposals, which would make deep cuts in the U.S. nuclear submarine force, an item in every Soviet arms reduction proposal since 1972. "I think it is important for us to preserve an adequate level in terms of the number of submarines; I think that's stabilizing, not destabilizing," Cheney told the committee.

With the Army, and by the latest intelligence estimates, particularly those reports emanating from the C.I.A. , placing 70% of the Russian people firmly opposed to Yeltsin, the next three months are certain to bring a crisis situation to the Russian federation, one in which the Army might very well oust Yeltsin and seize control. It was for this reason that Yeltsin was advised by the Bush Administration to do his miniature Cooks Tour, as a sign for those who oppose him in and outside Russia, that he is the One World Government-New World Order choice to rule Russia, just as Wall Street and the City of London backed Lenin and Trotsky in the Bolshevik overthrow of Czarist Russia in 1917.

On January 29th, 1992, President Bush made a speech in which he introduced a new term "imperial communism" during his appeal to the Russian Army to cut the number of nuclear warheads held by both sides in half. Although the media reported that Yeltsin was "highly positive" about the proposed cuts, the military maintained its stony silence. Earlier in the month, Russian press reports said there was serious trouble between Yeltsin and the military. Not by coincidence, on January 28th Yeltsin appeared in Novorosiysk, which is the nearest Russian port to Sevastopol, home of the Russian Black Sea fleet.

Russian television carried a live broadcast of Yeltsin on board the cruiser "Moskva", flagship of the fleet, in obviously highly stage- managed situations talking animatedly with ship's officers. Yeltsin returned to Moscow on January 29th where he met with James Baker III to report on his visit to Novorosiysk. What was not revealed by either the Western press or Moscow was that Baker's visit had to be put off by one day and many of Yeltsin's appointments canceled so that he could keep the lid on the anger and resentment boiling among the Russian admirals.

As if to cut the ground from under Yeltsin's feet, Admiral Igor Kasatonov said on January 29th, "The president was once again assured that our position remains unchanged. The Black Sea Fleet is indivisible." Apparently the strategy devised in Washington to split the Russian Navy foundered on the rock of Russian nationalism typified by Soyuz and Pamyat. What was not made public was the demand by Admiral Igor Kasatonov that the Black Sea Fleet be moved from Sevastopol in the Ukraine to Novorosiysk. President Leonid M. Krachuk has been pushing Yeltsin for the Black Sea Fleet to come under the control of his government. The Russian Navy is the most sensitive division of the Armed Forces. Yeltsin is aware that the sailors of Kronstad were the ones who fired the first shots that toppled Czar Nicholas II. He is aware that support of the Navy is vital if he is to survive but, like Gorbachev, he will have to walk a tightrope between Washington and the military.

Perhaps it was with this in mind that Yeltsin appeared on television with Admiral Chernavin, Commander-in-Chief of the Navy and Air Marshall Yevgeny I. Shaposhnikov who has replaced the retired Marshall Orgakov as head of the Armed Forces. Yeltsin claimed that his talks with Admiral Kasatonov had been "most fruitful". Yeltsin was short on specifics, but what was long on facts is that the major portion of the Black Sea Fleet is now in Novorosiysk. "The whole thing was a public relations exercise staged just before Yelstin took off for Washington, stage managed to convince the military that he, Yeltsin, is on their side while at the same time giving Washington the appearance that he has the situation under control," my intelligence source told me.

"But if Yeltsin puts a foot wrong and really tries to force the military to come under his direct control as Washington is demanding, then the fat will be in the fire. The military might well kick him out and put a man of their choice in the Kremlin. That would not sit well with Washington and who knows what retaliatory action the Bush Administration will take?" One can gauge from this just how serious the situation in Russia is. Will there be a Second Revolution? Is Communism dead? The next 3-5 months will tell.

# The Dangers of Globalism

## 2/10/92 #1 HATONN

The rain and sun both fall on those who are just and upon those who are unjust. Each shall feel the tooth of the viper's strike for long since has man learned to manipulate that which was once the Mother's to cycle. **However, I, Hatonn, am here to remind you that in the fields two might stand or work beside the other—one shall be taken and the other shall be left. Will YOU be left? So be it.**

You are most capable of seeing that which lies 'neath the news of the day. The "Big One" of the season is "The Unified" and "The Unification". Now whatever might that mean? I believe as you watch the term in its application (especially in the Olympic Games broadcasts), it represents this new Soviet Commonwealth bearing a "proper" name without any meaning whatsoever. The term is a verb which means "in unison". It can mean nothing because it is NOT a noun and neither is there any unification

among the states involved. However, to get assistance the people must relinquish all rights to choice-even as the youngsters in France competing for winter games. The plans are as subtle as the sneaking scorpion which waits beneath the rocks for the unsuspecting victim.

## **GLOBALISM**

With each writing we are drawn back to the point in focus as the evil planners bring down the shroud. It is called "Globalism" but it is as deadly as the viper's bite.

To solve a problem, however, it must be understood clearly. And to understand it, you must open your eyes and ears and hearts to the fact that the problem exists, and that it is gnawing at all you hold precious. As I write of the CIA, for instance, I see the thrust from you ones who say--" ...get on with the problem, we don't want to hear about the CIA." The CIA is a part of the octopus which will orchestrate the integration of itself and all police enforcement groups within the Global monster--headed ultimately by the Elite Committee of 300. Without this information you miss the most important branch of terror which will come down on you. Knowledge is all that can give you assets enough to know where to stand.

I am left alone in my work more and more these days, **because the Planners perceive that you are now trapped and it becomes easier if you KNOW what you are going to do. It never occurs to them longer that you CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR PLIGHT. So be it.**

**Are YOU a part of the problem-or a part of the solution? We shall see. Are you willing to become a part of that instruction mandate of James 1:22, and become doers of the word, and not hearers only? Do you accept the admonition of James 2:26 that faith without works is dead? This is from .that wondrous book you continue to throw in my face. I never was among the ones who misunderstood the book.**

We do not speak of some abstract contrivance of rhetoric. This is *real*, and it is rapidly digesting and expanding under the mesmerizing auspices and direction of politicians and religionists. Well, chelas, both this Globalism and humanism can, and must, be countered by a genuine return to what were once called "American patriotism and principles", and by the joining together (unification) of one nation, UNDER GOD, in a moral revival across your land and thence around the world.

## **WHY PUSH ON?**

But why does Hatonn continue to push and pour forth information when so many discount and deny? Because as long as there is ONE who seeks--so shall he be given into the finding. God sends us with this dictum: **"My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge,"** And you have had that input for generations upon generations--since Hosea 4:6.

Surprisingly enough, most ones who act as globalists are totally convinced that what they are doing is for the eventual salvation of mankind and the planet Earth. The great strength they possess is that the general public in your land does not even

know that they exist. And because of the mammoth level of deceit and subterfuge the ordinary person fails to comprehend the ability to deceive to this extent.

## **THE GOAL: GLOBAL CONTROL**

The objective, simply put, is *control*. Although the desire to rule the world is not a new ambition, the control sought by this present generation of global imperialists is more than government, wealth and resources. They control these already. What the globalists want in order to complete their plan is control of population. They fully intend to simply remove the overpopulation to suit themselves and their own needs.

Their objective of global control is most easy to discern, but its implementation is complex and convoluted. The CIA structure is a very good example of the hidden but unlimited resources available to them through you. It is mind-boggling for ordinary "Man" when he attempts to unravel it--truly a "tangled web" of deception. Their control of population is in their ability to manage the very thoughts and behavior of the masses: the key being consensus; the motivation, survival.

If the struggle were simply a physical one, you could identify your enemy more readily and recognize the dangers coming against you. Like the serpent in the garden, the sophistication and refinement of **the psychological weaponry** are so subtle that you are now in the final stages of the conflict with only a very few awakening to the conflict's existence. Most of these still too sleepy to comprehend the danger already upon and devouring you.

As a measure of the effectiveness of these new techniques you need only recall the unfenced concentration camps during the Korean and Vietnam conflicts. Due to psychological intimidation the captured American military did not try to escape. Are you even aware of this, America? Where would these prisoners go? Even your own United States military and/or government would not come to rescue them--2,600 KNOWN PRISONERS still wait rotting in the prisons while the U.S. carries on its drug business without interruption. The same behavioral control exists today, but everyone is a prisoner, the earth is the concentration camp and the globalists are the stalag commandants.

# **The Directorate of Intelligence**

## **2/10/92 #1 HATONN**

The Directorate of Intelligence, with some 3,500 employees, engages in two basic activities: first, the production of finished intelligence reports from the analysis of information (both classified and unclassified); and second, the performance of certain services of common concern for the benefit of the whole intelligence community. Included in the latter category are the agency's various reference services (e.g., a huge computerized biographical library of foreign personalities, another on foreign factories, and so on); the Foreign Broadcasting Information Service (a worldwide radio and television monitoring system); and the National Photographic Interpretation Center (an organization, run in close cooperation with the Pentagon, which analyzes photographs taken from satellites and spy planes). About two thirds of the

Intelligence Directorate's \$70 million annual budget is devoted to carrying out these services of common concern for the government's entire national-security bureaucracy. Thus, the State and Defense Departments are spared the expense of maintaining duplicate facilities, receiving from the CIA finished intelligence in areas of interest to them. For example, when there is a shift in the Soviet leadership, or a new Chinese diplomat is posted to Washington, the Intelligence Directorate routinely sends biographical information (usually classified "secret") on the personalities involved to the other government agencies. Similarly, the various State Department bureaus (along with selected American academicians and newspapers) regularly receive the agency's unclassified transcripts of foreign radio and television broadcasts.

Most of the rest of the Intelligence Directorate's assets are focused on political, economic, and strategic military research. The agency's specialists produce both current intelligence--reports and explanations on a daily basis of the world's breaking events--and long-range analysis of trends, potential crisis areas, and other matters of interest to the government's policy-makers. Turning out current intelligence reports is akin to publishing a newspaper, and in fact, the Intelligence Directorate puts out daily and weekly publications which, except for their high security classifications, are similar to work done by the American press. These regular intelligence reports, along with special ones on topics like corruption in South Vietnam or the prospect for the Soviet wheat crop, are sent to hundreds of "consumers" in the federal government. The primary consumer, however, is the President, and he receives every morning a special publication called the President's Daily Brief. In the Johnson Administration these reports frequently contained, in addition to the normal intelligence fare, rather scandalous descriptions of the private lives of certain world leaders, always avidly read by the President. President Johnson's taste in intelligence was far from conventional. A former high State Department official tells of attending a meeting at the White House and then staying on for a talk with the President afterward. LBJ proceeded to play for him a tape recording (one of those presumably made by the FBI) of Martin Luther King in a most compromising situation.

The agency found, however, that in the Nixon Administration such items were not appreciated, and the tone of the daily report was changed. Even so, President Nixon and HENRY KISSINGER soon lost interest in reading the publication; the task was relegated to lower-ranking officials on the National Security Council staff. **[AT this time, Eagleburger's office and that of Scowcroft, the Mormon representative to the One World Government Order.]**

\* \* \*

## **THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE**

The fourth and newest of the CIA's directorates, Science and Technology, also employs the smallest number of personnel, about 1,300 people. It carries out functions such as basic research and development, the operation of spy satellites, and intelligence analysis in highly technical fields. In addition to these activities, it also handles the bulk of the agency's electronic data-processing (computer) work. While the S&T Directorate keeps abreast of and does research work in a wide variety of

scientific fields, its most important successes have come in developing technical espionage systems. The precursor of this directorate was instrumental in the development of the U-2 and **SR-71** spy planes. The S&T experts have also made several brilliant breakthroughs in the intelligence-satellite field. In the late 1950's, when Clandestine Services chief Richard Bissell encouraged the technicians in their development of America's first photo-reconnaissance satellite, they produced a model which was still in use as late as 1971. And agency technicians have continued to make remarkable advances in the "state of the art". Today spy satellites, capable of producing photographs from space with less than (\*\*DELETED\*\*) resolution, lead all other collection means as a source of intelligence. The S&T Directorate has also been a leader in developing other technical espionage techniques, such as over-the-horizon radars, "stationary" satellites, and various other electronic information-gathering devices. **[H: They also become most involved in placement of robotic entities-in coalition with the services which research biological life creation. Hold ever in your hearts that technology was fully available in 1974 at the writing of this dissertation—how much more sophisticated might it be this day?]**

The normal procedure has been for the S&T Directorate, using both CIA and Pentagon funds, to work on a collection system through the research-and-development stage. Then, once the system is perfected, it is turned over to the Defense Department. In the case of a few particularly esoteric systems, the CIA has kept operational control, but the agency's S&T budget of about \$120 million per year is simply not large enough to support many independent technical collection systems.

CIA technicians, for example, worked with Lockheed Aircraft at a secret site in Nevada to develop the A12, probably the most potent airborne collection system ever to fly. In February 1964, before the plane became operational, President Johnson revealed its existence to the news media, describing it as a long-range Air Force interceptor. Five months later, at another news conference, the President disclosed that there was a second version of the aircraft, which he described as "an advanced strategic reconnaissance plane for military use, capable of worldwide reconnaissance". Three years after that, when the A-12, now the **SR-71**, was flying regularly, the program was turned over to the Air Force.

12 LINES DELETED

## **TOO MANY PEOPLE**

Any reasonable reviewer of the CIA, after surveying the deployment of agency funds and personnel and weighing these against the intelligence gains produced by the various directorates, would probably come to the same conclusion as did **Directorate of Management and Services (formerly the Directorate of Support), such as communications, logistics, and training, which contribute to covert activities. Only about 20 percent of the CIA's career employees (spending less than 10% of the budget) work on intelligence analysis and information processing.** There is little reason, at present, to expect that things will change.

\* \* \*



## **IMPORTANCE OF KNOWLEDGE RE: CIA**

Let us pause at this point for some further explanation regarding our presentation of this material. Our early intent in the writing is to give you a working picture of the operations system, hierarchy and general "governmental" pecking order and who does what and how the budgets work. You will certainly find the figures outdated--but not the general organization charts. You will be aware--if you keep up with even the non-news--that much is voted in and out and covert/ overt operations are fiddled with and then given open range to continue with more budget in ever more secrecy--having to answer to no one. We are talking here, about a multi-billion dollar expenditure annually. You see, for every project such as the **SR-71 in Nevada—THERE ARE MORE AND BIGGER INSTALLATIONS AND GOINGS-ON AT PLACES SUCH AS IN CALIFORNIA AS WE HAVE SHOWN YOU--WITH MASSIVE UNDERGROUND FACILITIES--ALSO RUN BY LOCKHEED.**

What you must note, however, is the massive cutbacks in those industries such as Lockheed and Northrop, etc. What can that mean? It means that the Plans have been orchestrated and the symphony ready to begin and you need set-builders no longer.

## **THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY**

Taken as a whole, U.S. intelligence is no longer made up of a small glamorous fraternity of adventurous bluebloods--men motivated by a sense of *noblesse oblige* who carry out daring undercover missions. That is the romantic myth without which there would be few spy novels, but it is not the substance of the modern intelligence profession. Today the vast majority of those in the spy business are faceless, desk-bound bureaucrats, far removed from the world of the secret agent. To be sure, the CIA still strives to keep alive *such* techniques as classical espionage and covert action, but its efforts have been dwarfed by the huge technical collection programs of other government intelligence organizations--chiefly military agencies.

## **TEN SEPARATE COMPONENTS**

In all, there are ten different components of the federal government which concern themselves with the collection and/or analysis of foreign intelligence. These ten agencies, complete with their hundreds of subordinate commands, offices, and staffs, are commonly referred to as the "intelligence community". Operating silently in the shadows of the federal government, carefully obscured from public view and virtually immune to congressional oversight, the intelligence community every year spends over \$6 billion and has a full-time workforce of more than 150,000 people. The bulk of this money and manpower is devoted to the collection of information through technical means and the processing and analysis of that information. The intelligence community amasses data on all the world's countries, but the primary targets are the communist nations, especially the Soviet Union and China, and the most sought-after information concerns their military capabilities and intentions.

[H: Let us just list the departments, half of which fall under the Department of Defense. The numbers are outdated in the chart so let it suffice to simply say that the major funding goes to the various Dept. of Defense agency departments beginning with the National Security Agency, then Air Force Intelligence, Army and Navy Intelligence, and then the Defense Intelligence Agency. I would point out, however, that it is through the Naval Intelligence Service that you have your most powerful players--i.e., the gold from Ft. Knox, among other places, was handled under the directions of Naval Intelligence. The Air Force Intelligence Service includes the national Reconnaissance Office which gives the appearance of a more sizable budget.]

## **CIA HEAD "BIG CHIEF" OF ALL U.S. INTELLIGENCE**

The intelligence community's best-known member, the CIA, accounts for less than 15 percent of its total funds and personnel. Despite the agency's comparatively small size, however, the head of the CIA is not only the number-one man in his own agency but, as a result of the National Security Act of 1947, is also the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI)--the titular chief of the entire intelligence community. **[H: sic, sic-and you thought Bush was a wimp!]** However, the community which the DCI supposedly oversees is made up of fiercely independent bureaucratic entities with little desire for outside supervision. All the members except the CIA are parts of much larger governmental departments, and they look to their parent agencies for guidance. **[H: Now you can see why it became imperative to have Bush become President because most of these branches only answer to the President--AND THE PRESIDENT ANSWERS TO HENRY KISSINGER AND SO ON UP TO THE HEAD OF THE COMMITTEE OF 300.]** While all participants share the same profession and general aim of protecting the national security, the intelligence community has developed into an interlocking, overlapping maze of organizations, each with its own goals. In the words of Admiral Rufus Taylor, former head of Naval Intelligence and former Deputy Director of the CIA, it most closely resembles a "tribal federation".

## **BRITISH INTELLIGENCE REAL HEAD OF U.S. INTELLIGENCE**

The Director of Central Intelligence heads up several inter-agency groups which were created to aid him in the management and operation of the intelligence community. The DC's two principal tools for managing intelligence are the Intelligence Resources Advisory Committee (IRAC) and the United States Intelligence Board (USIB). The IRAC's members include representatives from the State Department, Defense, the Office of Management and Budget, and the CIA itself. (Since the agency's Director chairs the group in his role as DCI, or head of the intelligence community, the CIA is also given a seat.) **[H: I realize that you ones who read diligently and regularly are breathlessly waiting to see how the CIA, Mossad, KGB, etc., all hook in with Big Mommy--British Intelligence. I do not wish to get sidetracked herein but be assured--THEY DO SO. And--we shall get there.]** IRAC was formed in November 1971, and it is supposed to prepare a consolidated budget for

the whole community and generally assure that intelligence resources are used as efficiently as possible. However, it has not been in existence long enough for it to be judged at the writing of this book, especially since three different DC's have already headed it. (By 1974)

The USIB's main tasks are the issuance of National Intelligence Estimates and the setting of collection requirements and priorities. Under it are fifteen permanent inter-agency committees and a variety of *ad hoc* groups for special problems. Working through these committees and groups, the USIB, among other things, lists the targets for American intelligence and the priority attached to each one\*, coordinates within the intelligence community the estimates of future events and enemy strengths, controls the classification and security systems for most of the U.S. government, directs research in the various fields of technical intelligence, and decides what classified information will be passed on to foreign friends and allies. \*\*

\* Although in a crisis situation, like the implementation of the Arab-Israeli cease-fire in 1970, HENRY KISSINGER or occasionally the President himself may set the standards. In the 1970 case

3½ LINES DELETED

## **INTERNATIONAL** **AGREEMENTS SECRET FROM** **CONGRESS**

\*\*Intelligence reports are routinely provided to certain foreign countries, especially the English-speaking ones, on the basis of so-called intelligence agreements entered into by the DCI and his foreign equivalents. Although these agreements commit the United States government to a specified course of action enforceable under international law, they are never submitted as treaties to the U.S. Senate. In fact, they are negotiated and put into force in complete secrecy, and no member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has ever seen one, even for informational purposes.

The USIB meets every Thursday morning in a conference room on the seventh floor of CIA headquarters. At a typical meeting there are three or four subjects on the agenda, itself a classified document which the USIB secretariat circulates to each member a few days before the meeting. The first item of business is always the approval of the minutes of the last session; in the interest of security, the minutes are purposely made incomplete. Then the USIB turns to the Watch Report, which has been prepared earlier in the week by an inter-agency USIB committee responsible for keeping an eye out for any indication that armed conflict, particularly one which might threaten the United States or any of its allies, may break out anywhere in the world. A typical Watch Report might, in effect, say something like: War between the United States and the Soviet Union does not seem imminent this week, but the Soviets are going ahead with the development of their latest missile and have moved two new divisions into position along the Chinese border; North Vietnamese infiltration along the Ho Chi Minh Trail (as monitored by sensors and radio intercepts)

indicates that the level of violence will probably rise in the northern half of South Vietnam; and satellite photos of the Suez Canal (\*\*DELETED\*\*) point to a higher level of tension between Israel and Egypt.

Once the USIB gives its routine assent, the Watch Report is forwarded to the nation's top policy-makers, who normally do not even glance at it, since they know that everything in it of any consequence has already been distributed to them in other intelligence reports. If some apocalyptic sign that war might break out were ever picked up by any agency of the community, the President and his top aides would be notified immediately, and the USIB would not be consulted; but as long as nothing of particular note is occurring, every Thursday morning the USIB spends an average of about thirty seconds discussing the Watch Report (which actually takes several man-weeks to prepare) before it is forwarded to the White House.

Next on the USIB agenda is the consideration and, almost always, the approval of the one or two National Intelligence Estimates which have been completed that week. These estimates of enemy capabilities and future events are drafted in advance by the CIA's National Intelligence Officers and then coordinated at the staff level with the various USIB-member agencies. By the time the estimates come before the USIB itself, all differences have normally been compromised in the interagency coordination meetings, or, failing in that accommodation, a dissenting member has already prepared a footnote stating his agency's disagreement with the conclusions or text of the NIE.

## **INTERACTIONS REVEALING**

Once the USIB has approved the estimates before it (now certified as the best judgments of the intelligence community on the particular subject), the board turns to any special items which all the members have the prerogative of placing on the agenda. One Thursday in 1969 the Chief of Naval Intelligence asked the USIB to reconsider a proposal, which had earlier been turned down at the USIB subcommittee level, to furnish the **Brazilian navy** with relatively advanced American crypto logical equipment. Because of the sensitivity of U.S. codes and encrypting devices, exports--even to friendly countries--need the USIB's approval; the board turned down this particular request. At another meeting in 1970 the special discussion was on whether or not a very sophisticated satellite should be target against the (\*\*DELETED\*\*) part of the (\*\*DELETED\*\*) instead of (\*\*DELETED\*\*). The Air Force's request to (\*\*DELETED\*\*) its satellite came to the USIB under its responsibility for setting intelligence-collection priorities; citing the great cost of the satellite and the possibility that the (\*\*DELETED\*\*) might lead to a malfunction, the USIB said no to the (\*\*DELETED\*\*). In another 1970 meeting the USIB considered a Pentagon proposal to lower the U.S. government research goals for the detection of underground nuclear explosions. Again the USIB said no. The Pentagon claimed that there was not enough money available in its budget to attain the level of detection on the Richter scale set forth in the USIB guidelines, and that relaxing the standard reflected this financial reality. The State Department argued that a changed goal might open the intelligence community to criticism on grounds that it had not done everything possible to achieve a comprehensive nuclear test ban--which would ultimately be dependent on both sides, being confident that cheating by the other party could be detected. DCI Helms sided with State. But the civilian victory was a hollow one, since there was no way the

DCI could ensure that the Pentagon would indeed spend more money on seismic research in order to be able to meet the level of detection fixed by the USIB.

On occasion, when extremely sensitive matters are to be discussed, the USIB goes into executive session--the practical effect of which is that all staff members leave the room and no minutes at all are kept. The USIB operated in this atmosphere of total privacy, for instance, for a 1969 discussion of the Green Beret murder case and again in 1970 for a briefing of the Fitzhugh panel's recommendations on the reorganization of Pentagon intelligence. **[H: We shall speak of this again in a minute.]**

Under DCI Helms, most USIB meetings were finished within forty-five minutes. Since almost all of the substantive work had been taken care of in preparatory sessions at the staff level, the USIB rarely did anything more than ratify already determined decisions, and thus the board, the highest-level substantive committee of the U.S. intelligence community.

## Concentration Camp Earth

DID YOU HEAR ME? You are on Earth--trapped in their concentration camp. WE are your only way off the place and they effort to see to it that you are too frightened of God Himself to allow possibilities to enter into your thoughts. WE ARE YOUR ONLY WAY OFF THE PLANET IN SAFETY OF TRANSFER.

You see, your struggle is not against flesh and blood... (Ephesians 6:12 for you who read your "book".) The evil deceivers have captured, through spiritual methods, that which is ONLY of flesh and blood. Worse, you have helped him at every turn by getting rid of all messengers who bring Truth and otherwise effort to show you the facts of it.

In the past you ones "Under God" have always been too late with too little. You cannot afford that mistake this time. You are told all sorts of lies about God and you fail to longer KNOW GOD. You are incensed when, I suggest that possibly He is angry at your foolishness. Well, I can promise you that God will patiently await some sign of intelligent life from you--each. If you ones want to preserve the right to live and worship freely and Americans want to retain a national identity, you must stop indulging yourselves in the luxury of fighting each other and direct your energies against the proven enemy.

There is much to be done and very little time in which to do it. If your nation of the United States (and America as a whole) falls, so falls the world for you are the only one left with a Constitution in enough purity to attend the Laws necessary to restructure and grow, thus allowing the world to follow--for strength compounds when in service under God. If your nations fall, and the end is very, very near, there is no place else to go as you perceive it. You are bound to Earth as surely as within any prison of your choosing to example.

In-fighting has dissipated your energies and brought you to your present calamitous condition--that, and simply lack of "giving a damn"--it is far easier to wave yellow

flags of cowardice. You are in total chaos and still the dissension continues and worsens. It is PLANNED that way! You are at a crossroads, people-of-the-world.

## **YOUR WAY: GOD'S WAY**

**YOU ARE GOING TO BE ON THE LORD'S SIDE OR CONTINUE DOING IT YOUR WAY—WHICH IS AND WILL LEAD TO ULTIMATE DISASTER.**

**So, we shall move right on along our path of giving information—WHERE YOU CAN SEE IT IN ACTION. At this point we are informing you about that wondrously exciting group called the CIA—which has shackled your nation—and now integrates completely into the Global Elite's arsenal for wrapping you in the tangled web of the worst enemy the physical world can ever know—an evil adversary DEVOID of GOD! Call it by any cute name you wish—IT IS DEFINED AS HELL.**

# Oklahoma Incident

## **OKLAHOMA INCIDENT**

Dharma, we need to attend the latest plea for help from Oklahoma-but we will attend it properly.

You ones must understand that WE WRITE AND PUBLISH. All legal matters must be investigated fully--no one goes off in scattered directions. I can guarantee and promise that the situation is more complicated than the simple arrest and confiscation of LIBERATORS AS IS PRESENTED TO THE OFFICE AT AMERICA WEST.

We are NOT the Constitutional Law Center and now I believe you can see WHY! Decisions of legality of actions must be left to the legal department who understands and knows the Constitutional LAWS. **THERE IS MUCH, MUCH MORE INVOLVED IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE THAN IS MEETING THE EYES--AND YOU WILL NOT JEOPARDIZE OUR WORK AND THE SERVICE UNTO THE MTh-LIONS OF READERS—BY DOING FOOLISH THINGS CONCERNING THE ONE WHO HAS MANY OTHER PROBLEMS AS WELL.**

The citizens in point have done the proper thing in making contact—however, we don't jump into the fire if the people themselves built the bonfire. What else have these ones been doing? If lawbreaking and violence is a part of their habitual exercises, such as KKK, White Supremacy, Black Unity--or ADL in violation of laws--then we have a real problem and God does not accept such counter-actions against that which is given in our work.

## **ALWAYS STRICTLY PROPER ACTIONS**

**OUR ONLY ADVOCATION OF ACTION IS WIT IN THE LAW AND WITHOUT VIOLENCE--ALWAYS WITHOUT VIOLENCE AND ALWAYS WITHIN THE LAWS! THE POINT OF THE RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION ARE THE PROTECTION OF UNALIENABLE (INALIENABLE) RIGHTS OF CITIZENS UNDER**

**THAT CONSTITUTION--HOWEVER,. RIGHTS OF ALL OTHER CITIZENS MUST ALSO BE PROTECTED AS WELL. IF YOU SET YOUR RIGHTS ABOVE ANOTHER AND TAKE ACTIONS WHICH DAMAGE ANOTHER IN HIS RIGHTS--YOU ARE WRONG! WE DO NOT ADVOCATE VIOLENCE NOR EVEN DEMONSTRATIONS--WE ADVOCATE GETTING INFORMED AND CHANGING YOUR SYSTEM WITHIN THE LAWS OF THE CONSTITUTION--BY BALLOT NOT BULLETS NOR SANDBAGS. OURS IS NOT TO BE HERE TO SERVE HIDDEN AGENDAS OF MALCONTENTS, OVEREAGER WARRIORS WHO BREAK LAWS AND THOSE WHO WOULD CAUSE OUR TRUTH TO BE STOPPED BY GROSSLY ILL-BEHAVIOR. IF INDEED. THE FAULT IS ABSENT AND CAUSE FOR ACTION SOLELY ON THE BASIS OF INABILITY TO HAVE MATERIAL WHICH REPRESENTS FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS--THEN THE ADVERSARY SHALL SORELY WISH HE HAD NOT INTERFERED. IF THE ACTIONS OF THE ONES WHO ARE NOW REPRESENTATIVE OF THE "VICTIMS" ARE IN PURE INTENT OF SERVICES TO OOD WITHIN THE LAWS--SO SHALL GOD ATTEND THE PLIGHT. IF YOU ARE GIVEN TO ACT WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE OF ALL FACTS--YOU ARE NO BETTER THAN YOUR ENEMY.**

Thank you for your attention. We shall return with more discussion on the CIA: The Intelligence Community (as a whole).

Hatonn to stand-by so that I might do some investigations. Please attend my summons, Dharma.

Good morning.

## **2/10/92 #2 HATONN**

### **MONDAY**

As we again sit to pen, I would speak of the legal case brought into our attention just prior to lunch break this morning. I make no comment on validity of feasible involvement by the Law Center--I just want it to be pointed out that the case is far different than at first presented.

## **THE OKLAHOMA INCIDENT UPDATE**

Among the facts somehow left out of the story in contact with me, was the situation (as now told to us, so still facts are not present) that the people were arrested by MANY officers who ransacked their dwelling and confiscated several things among which were *LIBERATORS* and/or *PHOENIX JOURNALS*. You will need no defense against the *LIBERATORS* and/ or *PHOENIX JOURNALS* in anyone's • possession. You still have the right to have publications received in your home. The best defense for having *LIBERATORS* is that they speak for themselves. Believe me, chelas, if there were legal grounds to silence us--it would have been done prior to now and would not be done in a raid which could only present papers, books and newsletters. Already, facts seep out in this particular instance--for the story now goes that there was a third party who stayed with this couple and that person had less than an ounce of marijuana and that had been tattled by some other person. I make no judgment or

statement regarding the circumstance except that if there are broken laws, even good cases are jeopardized.

This is exactly WHY, however, this property is monitored constantly for possible set-ups. We have had to limit overnight guests and family members who do come, along with persons who frequent the dwelling--do not even bring beer or wine onto the premises. I do hope this will be insight to any who still feel it fine to tamper and mess around with illegal substances, by smoking or any other means--to NEVER come to our homes or businesses with ANY such substance on or near your person. Remember that even old clothing, coats, etc., having once carried the substances in point are still tattle-tales with electronic sniffers and dogs.

I REPEAT: WE ARE NOT EVEN IN CONSIDERATION FOR BREAKING OF THE LAWS FOR THE SURVEILLANCE TEAMS KNOW WE ARE NOT SUBVERSIVE NOR DO WE EVER SUGGEST ANYTHING ILLEGAL OR VIOLENT, AS I CAREFULLY COVERED PRIOR TO NOW. IT IS A NICE UNDERSTANDING WE HAVE WITH OUR ENEMY SO ANY WHO WOULD SET US UP MIGHT WELL FIND THEMSELVES THE TARGET OF THEIR OWN SO-CALLED ALLIES. BELIEVE ME, FRIENDS, THE ADVERSARY DOES NOT WISH TO UPSET THE HOSTS BY BREAKING SOME OF THOSE UNDERSTOOD TREATIES. IF ANY OF YOU BREAK LAWS--IT IS NOT OF OUR SUGGESTION. THE LAW CENTER ATTORNEYS ARE IN TOUCH WITH THE LAWYER OF THE PEOPLE IN POINT TO SEE WHAT IS WORTHY OF INVOLVEMENT. IF FRAME-UP WAS PERPETRATED--THERE IS WHERE THE CASE LIES--NOT IN *LIBERATORS* or *NORTHPOINT* newsletters.



## **Copyright**

## **Statement**

**COPYRIGHT 1991 by America West Publishers, Inc. Reproduction of the newspaper for private nonprofit use is expressly encouraged, as long as the content integrity is not altered. For Commercial purposes, reproduction is strictly forbidden until permission is granted in writing by America West Publishers.**